

Cramer rule over quaternion skew field

Ivan Kyrchei *

Abstract

New definitions of determinant functionals over the quaternion skew field are given in this paper. The inverse matrix over the quaternion skew field is represented by analogues of the classical adjoint matrix. Cramer rule for right and left quaternionic systems of linear equations have been obtained.

Keywords: quaternion skew field, noncommutative determinant, inverse matrix, quaternionic system of linear equation, Cramer rule.

MSC: 15A06, 15A15, 15A33.

1 Introduction

For a representation of solution of a system of linear equations over the quaternion skew field $\mathbf{H}$ by Cramer rule is necessary to represent the inverse of the coefficient matrix by the classical adjoint matrix. The crucial importance for this has a definition of the determinant of a square matrix over $\mathbf{H}$. On the whole the theory of determinants of matrices with noncommutative entries, (which are also defined as noncommutative determinants), can be divided into three methods. Let $M(n, \mathbf{K})$ be the ring of $n \times n$ matrices with entries in a ring $\mathbf{K}$. The first approach [1, 4, 5] to defining the determinant of a matrix in $M(n, \mathbf{K})$ is as follows.

Definition 1.1 *Let a functional $d : M(n, \mathbf{K}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ satisfy the following axioms.*

Axiom 1 $d(\mathbf{A}) = 0$ if and only if the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is singular.

*Pidstrygach Institute for Applied Problems of Mechanics and Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine, str. Naukova 3b, Lviv, Ukraine, 79053, kyrchei@lms.lviv.ua

Axiom 2 $d(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}) = d(\mathbf{A}) \cdot d(\mathbf{B})$ for $\forall \mathbf{B} \in M(n, \mathbf{K})$.

Axiom 3 *If the matrix $\mathbf{A}'$ is obtained from $\mathbf{A}$ by adding a left-multiple of a row to another row or a right-multiple of a column to another column, then $d(\mathbf{A}') = d(\mathbf{A})$.*

Then a value of the functional d is called the determinant of the matrix $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{K})$.

Examples of such determinant are the determinants of Study and Diedonné. If a determinant functional satisfies Axioms 1, 2, 3, then it takes on a value in a commutative subset of the ring. It is proved in [1]. Therefore a determinant representation of an inverse matrix by such determinants is impossible. This reason compels to define determinant functionals unsatisfying all the above axioms. However Axiom 1 is considered [5] indispensable for the utility of the notion of a determinant.

In another way a noncommutative determinant is defined as a rational function from entries of a matrix. Herein I. M. Gelfand and V. S. Retah have reached the greatest success by the theory of quasideterminants [6, 7]. An arbitrary $n \times n$ matrix over a skew field is associated with an $n \times n$ matrix whose entries are quasideterminants. I. M. Gelfand and V. S. Retah transfer from a commutative case not the concept of a determinant but its relations to minors. Since quasideterminants can not be expanded by cofactors along an arbitrary row or column, an inverse matrix is not represented by the adjoint classical matrix in this case as well.

At last, at the third approach a noncommutative determinant is defined as the alternating sum of $n!$ products of entries of a matrix but by specifying a certain ordering of coefficients in each term. E. H. Moore was the first who achieved the fulfillment of the main Axiom 1 by such definition of a noncommutative determinant. This is done not for all square matrices over a skew field but rather only Hermitian matrices. He has defined the determinant of a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A} = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$, (i.e. $a_{ij} = \overline{a_{ji}}$), over a skew field with an involution by induction on n in the following way ([5]).

Denote by $\mathbf{A}(i \rightarrow j)$ the matrix obtained from $\mathbf{A}$ by replacing its j th column with the i th column, and then by deleting both the i th row and column. By definition, put

$$\text{Mdet} \mathbf{A} = \begin{cases} a_{11}, & n = 1 \\ \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_{ij} a_{ij} \text{Mdet}(\mathbf{A}(i \rightarrow j)), & n > 1 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $\varepsilon_{kj} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j \\ -1, & i \neq j \end{cases}$. Another definition of this determinant is represented ([1]) in terms of permutations:

$$\text{Mdet } \mathbf{A} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} |\sigma| a_{n_{11}n_{12}} \cdot \dots \cdot a_{n_{1l_1}n_{11}} \cdot a_{n_{21}n_{22}} \cdot \dots \cdot a_{n_{rl_1}n_{r1}}.$$

The disjoint cycle representation of the permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ is written in the normal form, $\sigma = (n_{11} \dots n_{1l_1}) (n_{21} \dots n_{2l_2}) \dots (n_{r1} \dots n_{rl_r})$.

However there was no extension of the definition of the Moore determinant to arbitrary square matrices. F. J. Dyson has emphasized this point in [5]. Longxuan Chen has offered the following decision of this problem in [2, 3]. He has defined the determinant of an arbitrary square matrix $\mathbf{A} = (a_{ij}) \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$ over the quaternion skew field $\mathbf{H}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \det \mathbf{A} &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \varepsilon(\sigma) a_{n_1 i_2} \cdot a_{i_2 i_3} \dots \cdot a_{i_s n_1} \cdot \dots \cdot a_{n_r k_2} \cdot \dots \cdot a_{k_l n_r}, \\ \sigma &= (n_1 i_2 \dots i_s) \dots (n_r k_2 \dots k_l), \\ n_1 &> i_2, i_3, \dots, i_s; \dots; n_r > k_2, k_3, \dots, k_l, \\ n &= n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_r \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

L. Chen has obtained a determinant representation of an inverse matrix over the quaternion skew field even though the determinant does not satisfy Axiom 1. However this determinant also can not be expanded by cofactors along an arbitrary row or column with the exception of the n th row. Therefore he has not obtained the classical adjoint matrix or its analogue as well.

If $\|\mathbf{A}\| := \det(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A}) \neq 0$ for $\mathbf{A} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ over $\mathbf{H}$, then $\exists \mathbf{A}^{-1} = (b_{jk})$, where

$$\overline{b_{jk}} = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{A}\|} \omega_{kj}, \quad (j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

$$\omega_{kj} = \det(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{j-1} \alpha_n \alpha_{j+1} \dots \alpha_{n-1} \delta_k)^* (\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{j-1} \alpha_n \alpha_{j+1} \dots \alpha_{n-1} \alpha_j).$$

Here α_i is the i th column of $\mathbf{A}$, δ_k is the n -dimension column with 1 in the k th row and 0 in others. He defined $\|\mathbf{A}\| := \det(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})$ as the double determinant. If $\|\mathbf{A}\| \neq 0$, then a solution of a right system of linear equations $\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j x_j = \beta$ over $\mathbf{H}$ is represented by the following formula, defined as Cramer formula,

$$x_j = \|\mathbf{A}\|^{-1} \overline{\mathbf{D}_j}, \quad \forall j = \overline{1, n},$$

where

$$\mathbf{D}_j = \det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1^* \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{j-1}^* \\ \alpha_n^* \\ \alpha_{j+1}^* \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{n-1}^* \\ \beta^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_{j-1} & \alpha_n & \alpha_{j+1} & \dots & \alpha_{n-1} & \alpha_j \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here α_i is the i th column of $\mathbf{A}$, α_i^* is the i th row of $\mathbf{A}^*$, and β^* is the n -dimension row vector conjugated with β .

The row and column determinants of a square matrix over the quaternion skew field are defined in this work. (For the first time we introduced these definitions in [8]). Their properties of an arbitrary square matrix and Hermitian over the quaternion skew field are investigated. The determinant representations of an inverse matrix by analogues of the adjoint matrix are obtained. Generalizations of Cramer rule for left and right systems of linear equations over the quaternion skew field are obtained as well.

2 Definitions and basic properties of the column and row determinants

Throughout this article the skew field $\mathbf{H}$ is the quaternion division algebra generated by four basic elements $1, i, j, k$ over the field of real numbers $\mathbf{R}$ with the famous Hamilton's relations $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1$. Define $q_n = w_n + x_n i + y_n j + z_n k \in \mathbf{H}$ for $n = (1, 2)$. *Addition* and *subtraction* of quaternions is defined by $q_1 \pm q_2 = (w_1 \pm w_2) + (x_1 \pm x_2)i + (y_1 \pm y_2)j + (z_1 \pm z_2)k$. *Multiplication* of quaternions is defined by

$$q_1 q_2 = (w_1 w_2 - x_1 x_2 - y_1 y_2 - z_1 z_2) + (w_1 x_2 + x_1 w_2 + y_1 z_2 - z_1 y_2)i + (w_1 y_2 - x_1 z_2 + y_1 w_2 + z_1 x_2)j + (w_1 z_2 + x_1 y_2 - y_1 x_2 + z_1 w_2)k.$$

The *conjugate* of a quaternion $q = w + xi + yj + zk$ is defined to be $\bar{q} = w - xi - yj - zk$ at that $\overline{p+q} = \bar{q} + \bar{p}$, $\overline{p \cdot q} = \bar{p} \cdot \bar{q}$, $\bar{\bar{q}} = q$ for all $q, p \in \mathbf{H}$. The *norm* of a quaternion is defined by $n(q) = w^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2$. The

norm is a real-valued function, and the norm of a product of quaternions satisfies the properties $n(p \cdot q) = n(p) \cdot n(q)$ and $n(\bar{q}) = n(q)$. The *trace* of a quaternion is defined by $t(q) = q + \bar{q}$. The trace is a real-valued function as well. The trace of a product of quaternions satisfies the rearrangement property $t(q \cdot p) = t(p \cdot q)$.

Definition 2.1 Suppose S_n is the symmetric group on the set $I_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$. We say that the permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ is written by the direct product of disjoint cycles if its subscription by usual two-line representation corresponds to the cycle notation, i.e.

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} n_{11} & n_{12} & \dots & n_{1l_1} & \dots & n_{r1} & n_{r2} & \dots & n_{rl_r} \\ n_{12} & n_{13} & \dots & n_{11} & \dots & n_{r2} & n_{r3} & \dots & n_{r1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

Definition 2.2 We say that the cycle notation of the permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ is left ordered if the first elements from the left in each cycles are elements bringing closure to the cycles. This means that if the subscription of the permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ by the direct product of disjoint cycles has the form (2), then the left-ordered cycle notation is represented by

$$\sigma = (n_{11}n_{12} \dots n_{1l_1}) (n_{21}n_{22} \dots n_{2l_2}) \dots (n_{r1}n_{r2} \dots n_{rl_r})$$

Definition 2.3 We say that the cycle notation of the permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ is right ordered if the first elements from the right in each cycles are elements bringing closure to the cycles. This means that if the subscription of the permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ by the direct product of disjoint cycles has the form (2), then the right-ordered cycle notation is represented by

$$\sigma = (n_{12} \dots n_{1l_1}n_{11}) (n_{22} \dots n_{2l_2}n_{21}) \dots (n_{r2} \dots n_{rl_r}n_{r1})$$

Definition 2.4 The i th row determinant of $\mathbf{A} = (a_{ij}) \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$ is defined as the alternating sum of $n!$ products of entries of $\mathbf{A}$, during which the index permutation of every product is written by the direct product of disjoint cycles. If the permutation is even, then product of entries has a sign "+". If the permutation is odd, then product of entries has a sign "-". That is

$$\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{n-r} a_{i i_{k_1}} a_{i_{k_1} i_{k_1+1}} \dots a_{i_{k_1+l_1} i} \dots a_{i_{k_r} i_{k_r+1}} \dots a_{i_{k_r+l_r} i_{k_r}},$$

where S_n is the symmetric group on the set I_n . The left-ordered cycle notation of the permutation σ is written as follows

$$\sigma = (i \ i_{k_1} i_{k_1+1} \dots i_{k_1+l_1}) (i_{k_2} i_{k_2+1} \dots i_{k_2+l_2}) \dots (i_{k_r} i_{k_r+1} \dots i_{k_r+l_r}).$$

Here the index i opens the first cycle from the left and other cycles satisfy the following conditions

$$i_{k_2} < i_{k_3} < \dots < i_{k_r}, \quad i_{k_t} < i_{k_t+s}, \quad (\forall t = \overline{2, r}), \quad (\forall s = \overline{1, l_t}).$$

We shall further consider $\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}$ ($\forall i = \overline{1, n}$) as a sum of $n!$ monomials whose coefficients are entries of $\mathbf{A}$.

Let $\mathbf{a}_{\cdot j}$ be the j th column and $\mathbf{a}_i$ be the i th row of a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$. Denote by $\mathbf{A}_{\cdot j}(\mathbf{b})$ the matrix obtained from $\mathbf{A}$ by replacing its j th column with the column $\mathbf{b}$, and by $\mathbf{A}_i(\mathbf{b})$ denote the matrix obtained from $\mathbf{A}$ by replacing its i th row with the row $\mathbf{b}$. Denote by $\mathbf{A}^{ij}$ the submatrix of $\mathbf{A}$ obtained by deleting both the i th row and the j th column. The following lemma enables us to expand $\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}$ ($\forall i = \overline{1, n}$) by cofactors along the i -th row. The calculation of the row determinant of a $n \times n$ matrix is reduced to the calculation of the row determinant of a lower dimension matrix.

Lemma 2.1 *Let R_{ij} be the right ij -th cofactor of $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$, that is $\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \cdot R_{ij}$, ($\forall i = \overline{1, n}$). Then*

$$R_{ij} = \begin{cases} -\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_{\cdot j}^{ii}(\mathbf{a}_i), & i \neq j, \\ \text{rdet}_k \mathbf{A}^{ii}, & i = j, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{A}_{\cdot j}^{ii}(\mathbf{a}_i)$ is obtained from $\mathbf{A}$ by replacing the j th column with the i th column, and then by deleting both the i th row and column; $k = \min\{I_n \setminus \{i\}\}$.

Proof. First we prove that $R_{ii} = \text{rdet}_k \mathbf{A}^{ii}$, $k = \min\{I_n \setminus \{i\}\}$. If $i = 1$, then $\text{rdet}_1 \mathbf{A} = a_{11} \cdot R_{11} + a_{12} \cdot R_{12} + \dots + a_{1n} \cdot R_{1n}$. Consider monomials of $\text{rdet}_1 \mathbf{A}$ such that the coefficient a_{11} is the first from the left in each of their:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11} \cdot R_{11} &= \sum_{\tilde{\sigma} \in S_n} (-1)^{n-r} a_{11} a_{2i_{k_2}} \dots a_{i_{k_2}+l_2} 2 \dots a_{i_{k_r} i_{k_r+1}} \dots a_{i_{k_r}+l_r i_{k_r}}, \\ \tilde{\sigma} &= (1) (2 i_{k_2} \dots i_{k_2+l_2}) \dots (i_{k_r} i_{k_r+1} \dots i_{k_r+l_r}). \end{aligned}$$

By factoring the common left-side factor a_{11} , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11} R_{11} &= a_{11} \sum_{\tilde{\sigma}_1 \in S_{n-1}} (-1)^{n-1-(r-1)} a_{2i_{k_2}} \dots a_{i_{k_2}+l_2} 2 \dots a_{i_{k_r} i_{k_r+1}} \dots a_{i_{k_r}+l_r i_{k_r}}, \\ \tilde{\sigma}_1 &= (2 i_{k_2} \dots i_{k_2+l_2}) \dots (i_{k_r} i_{k_r+1} \dots i_{k_r+l_r}). \end{aligned}$$

Here S_{n-1} is the symmetric group on $I_n \setminus \{1\}$. The numbers of the disjoint cycles and coefficients of every monomial of R_{11} decrease by one. An element of the second row opens each monomial of R_{11} on the left. There are no elements of the first row and column of $\mathbf{A}$ among its coefficients. Thus, we have

$$R_{11} = \sum_{\tilde{\sigma}_1 \in S_{n-1}} (-1)^{n-1-(r-1)} a_{2i_{k_2}} \dots a_{i_{k_2+l_2}2} \dots a_{i_{k_r+l_r}i_{k_r}} = \text{rdet}_2 \mathbf{A}^{11}. \quad (3)$$

If now $i \neq 1$, then

$$\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A} = a_{i1} \cdot R_{i1} + a_{i2} \cdot R_{i2} + \dots + a_{in} \cdot R_{in} \quad (4)$$

Consider monomials of $\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}$ such that a_{ii} is the first from the left in each of their:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ii} \cdot R_{ii} &= \sum_{\widehat{\sigma} \in S_n} (-1)^{n-r} a_{ii} a_{1i_{k_2}} \dots a_{i_{k_2+l_2}1} \dots a_{i_{k_r}i_{k_r+1}} \dots a_{i_{k_r+l_r}i_{k_r}}, \\ \widehat{\sigma} &= (i)(1i_{k_2} \dots i_{k_2+l_2}) \dots (i_{k_r}i_{k_r+1} \dots i_{k_r+l_r}) \end{aligned}$$

Again by factoring the common left-side factor a_{ii} , we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ii} \cdot R_{ii} &= a_{ii} \cdot \sum_{\widehat{\sigma}_1 \in \widehat{S}_{n-1}} (-1)^{n-1-(r-1)} a_{1i_{k_2}} \dots a_{i_{k_2+l_2}1} \dots a_{i_{k_r+l_r}i_{k_r}}, \\ \widehat{\sigma}_1 &= (1i_{k_2} \dots i_{k_2+l_2}) \dots (i_{k_r}i_{k_r+1} \dots i_{k_r+l_r}). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\widehat{S}_{n-1}$ is the symmetric group on $I_n \setminus \{i\}$. The numbers of disjoint cycles and coefficients of every monomial of R_{ii} again decrease by one. An element of the first row opens on the left each monomial of R_{ii} , and there are no elements of the i th row and column of $\mathbf{A}$ among its coefficients. Thus, we obtain

$$R_{ii} = \sum_{\widehat{\sigma}_1 \in \widehat{S}_{n-1}} (-1)^{n-1-(r-1)} a_{1i_{k_2}} \dots a_{i_{k_2+l_2}1} \dots a_{i_{k_r+l_r}i_{k_r}} = \text{rdet}_1 \mathbf{A}^{ii}. \quad (5)$$

Combining (3) and (5), we get $R_{ii} = \text{rdet}_k \mathbf{A}^{ii}$, $k = \min \{I_n \setminus \{i\}\}$.

Now suppose that $i \neq j$. Consider monomials of $\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}$ in (4) such that a_{ij} is the first from the left in each of their:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} \cdot R_{ij} &= \sum_{\bar{\sigma} \in S_n} (-1)^{n-r} a_{ij} a_{ji_{k_1}} \dots a_{i_{k_1+l_1}i} \dots a_{i_{k_r}i_{k_r+1}} \dots a_{i_{k_r+l_r}i_{k_r}} = \\ &= -a_{ij} \cdot \sum_{\bar{\sigma} \in S_n} (-1)^{n-r-1} a_{ji_{k_1}} \dots a_{i_{k_1+l_1}i} \dots a_{i_{k_r}i_{k_r+1}} \dots a_{i_{k_r+l_r}i_{k_r}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{\sigma} = (i \ j \ i_{k_1} \dots i_{k_1+l_1}) \dots (i_{k_r} i_{k_r+1} \dots i_{k_r+l_r}) \quad \forall r = \overline{1, n-1}.$$

Denote $\tilde{a}_{i_{k_1+l_1}j} = a_{i_{k_1+l_1}i}$, ($\forall i_{k_1+l_1} \in I_n$). Then

$$a_{ij} \cdot R_{ij} = -a_{ij} \cdot \sum_{\bar{\sigma}_1 \in \widehat{S}_{n-1}} (-1)^{n-r-1} a_{ji_{k_1}} \dots \tilde{a}_{i_{k_1+l_1}j} \dots a_{i_{k_r+l_r}i_{k_r}},$$

$$\bar{\sigma}_1 = (j \ i_{k_1} \dots i_{k_1+l_1}) \dots (i_{k_r} i_{k_r+1} \dots i_{k_r+l_r}) \quad \forall r = \overline{1, n-1}.$$

The permutation $\bar{\sigma}_1$ does not contain the index i in every monomial of R_{ij} . By (4) this permutation satisfies the conditions of Definition 2.4 for $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_{\cdot j}^{ii}(\mathbf{a}_i)$. Here the matrix $\mathbf{A}_{\cdot j}^{ii}(\mathbf{a}_i)$ is obtained from $\mathbf{A}$ by replacing the j th column with the column i , and then by deleting both the i th row and column. That is,

$$\sum_{\bar{\sigma}_1 \in \widehat{S}_{n-1}} (-1)^{n-r-1} a_{ji_{k_1}} \dots \tilde{a}_{i_{k_1+l_1}j} \dots a_{i_{k_r+l_r}i_{k_r}} = \text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_{\cdot j}^{ii}(\mathbf{a}_i)$$

Therefore, $R_{ij} = -\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_{\cdot j}^{ii}(\mathbf{a}_i)$, if $i \neq j$. ■

Definition 2.5 The j th column determinant of $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$ is defined as the alternating sum of $n!$ products of entries of $\mathbf{A}$, during which the index permutation of every product is written by the direct product of disjoint cycles. If the permutation is even, then products of entries has a sign "+". If the permutation is odd, then products of entries has a sign "-". That is

$$\text{cdet}_j \mathbf{A} = \sum_{\tau \in S_n} (-1)^{n-r} a_{jk_r j_{k_r+l_r}} \dots a_{jk_{r+1} j_{k_r}} \dots a_{j j_{k_1+l_1}} \dots a_{j_{k_1+1} j_{k_1}} a_{j_{k_1} j},$$

where S_n is the symmetric group on the set $J_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$. The right-ordered cycle notation of the permutation $\tau \in S_n$ is written as follows:

$$\tau = (j_{k_r+l_r} \dots j_{k_r+1} j_{k_r}) \dots (j_{k_2+l_2} \dots j_{k_2+1} j_{k_2}) (j_{k_1+l_1} \dots j_{k_1+1} j_{k_1} j).$$

Here the index j opens the first cycle from the right and other cycles satisfy the following conditions

$$j_{k_2} < j_{k_3} < \dots < j_{k_r}, \quad j_{k_t} < j_{k_t+s}, \quad (\forall t = \overline{2, r}), \quad (\forall s = \overline{1, l_t}).$$

Remark 2.1 A peculiarity of calculation of column determinants is such that coefficients of every monomials are written from right to left.

Lemma 2.2 Let L_{ij} be the left ij -th cofactor of a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$, that is $\text{cdet}_j \mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^n L_{ij} \cdot a_{ij}$, $(\forall j = \overline{1, n})$. Then

$$L_{ij} = \begin{cases} -\text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A}_{i.}^{jj}(\mathbf{a}_{j.}), & i \neq j, \\ \text{cdet}_k \mathbf{A}^{jj}, & i = j, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{A}_{i.}^{jj}(\mathbf{a}_{j.})$ is obtained from $\mathbf{A}$ by replacing the i th row with the j th row, and then by deleting both the j th row and column; $k = \min \{J_n \setminus \{j\}\}$.

The proof of this lemma is similar to that of Lemma 2.1.

Remark 2.2 Clearly, any monomial of each row or column determinant of a square matrix corresponds to a certain monomial of another row or column determinant such that both of them consist of the same coefficients and vary in their ordering only. If the entries of $\mathbf{A}$ are commutative, then $\text{rdet}_1 \mathbf{A} = \dots = \text{rdet}_n \mathbf{A} = \text{cdet}_1 \mathbf{A} = \dots = \text{cdet}_n \mathbf{A}$.

Consider the basic properties of the column and row determinants of a square matrix over $\mathbf{H}$, the proofs of which immediately follow from the definitions.

Theorem 2.1 If one of the rows (columns) of $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$ consists of zeros only, then $\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A} = 0$ and $\text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A} = 0$, $(\forall i = \overline{1, n})$.

Theorem 2.2 If the i th row of $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$ is left-multiplied by $b \in \mathbf{H}$, then $\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}_{i.}(b \cdot \mathbf{a}_{i.}) = b \cdot \text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}$, $(\forall i = \overline{1, n})$.

Theorem 2.3 If the j th column of $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$ is right-multiplied by $b \in \mathbf{H}$, then $\text{cdet}_j \mathbf{A}_{.j}(\mathbf{a}_{.j} \cdot b) = \text{cdet}_j \mathbf{A}$, $(\forall j = \overline{1, n})$.

Theorem 2.4 If for $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H}) \exists t \in I_n$ such that $a_{tj} = b_j + c_j$ $(\forall j = \overline{1, n})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A} &= \text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}_{t.}(\mathbf{b}) + \text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}_{t.}(\mathbf{c}), \\ \text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A} &= \text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A}_{t.}(\mathbf{b}) + \text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A}_{t.}(\mathbf{c}) \quad \forall i = \overline{1, n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$, $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$.

Theorem 2.5 If for $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H}) \exists t \in J_n$ such that $a_{it} = b_i + c_i$ $(\forall i = \overline{1, n})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A} &= \text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_{.t}(\mathbf{b}) + \text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_{.t}(\mathbf{c}), \\ \text{cdet}_j \mathbf{A} &= \text{cdet}_j \mathbf{A}_{.t}(\mathbf{b}) + \text{cdet}_j \mathbf{A}_{.t}(\mathbf{c}) \quad \forall j = \overline{1, n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)^T$, $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n)^T$.

Theorem 2.6 *If $\mathbf{A}^*$ is the Hermitian adjoint matrix of $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$, then $\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}^* = \overline{\text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A}}$, $(\forall i = \overline{1, n})$.*

Remark 2.3 *Since the column and row determinants of an arbitrary square matrix over the quaternion skew field do not satisfy Axiom 1 but these determinants are defined by analogy to the determinant of a complex square matrix, then we consider theirs as pre-determinants.*

3 A determinant of a Hermitian matrix

The following lemma is needed for the sequel.

Lemma 3.1 *Let T_n be the sum of all possible products of the n factors, each of which are either $h_i \in \mathbf{H}$ or $\overline{h_i}$, $(\forall i = \overline{1, n})$, by specifying the ordering in the terms, i.e.:*

$$T_n = h_1 \cdot h_2 \cdot \dots \cdot h_n + \overline{h_1} \cdot h_2 \cdot \dots \cdot h_n + \dots + \overline{h_1} \cdot \overline{h_2} \cdot \dots \cdot \overline{h_n}.$$

Then T_n consists of the 2^n terms and $T_n = \text{t}(h_1) \text{t}(h_2) \dots \text{t}(h_n)$.

Proof. The number 2^n of terms of the sum T_n is equal to the number of ordered combinations of n unknown elements with two values.

The proof goes by induction on n .

- (i) If $n = 1$, then $T_1 = \overline{h_1} + h_1 = \text{t}(h_1)$.
- (ii) Suppose the lemma is true for $n - 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n-1} &= h_1 \cdot h_2 \cdot \dots \cdot h_{n-1} + \overline{h_1} \cdot h_2 \cdot \dots \cdot h_{n-1} + \dots + \overline{h_1} \cdot \overline{h_2} \cdot \dots \cdot \overline{h_{n-1}} = \\ &= \text{t}(h_1) \text{t}(h_2) \dots \text{t}(h_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) Now we prove that it is valid for n .

$$T_n = h_1 \cdot h_2 \cdot \dots \cdot h_n + \overline{h_1} \cdot h_2 \cdot \dots \cdot h_n + \dots + \overline{h_1} \cdot \overline{h_2} \cdot \dots \cdot \overline{h_n}.$$

By factoring the common right-side factors either $\overline{h_n}$ or h_n respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_n &= T_{n-1} \cdot h_n + T_{n-1} \cdot \overline{h_n} = T_{n-1} \cdot (h_n + \overline{h_n}) = T_{n-1} \cdot \text{t}(h_n) = \\ &= \text{t}(h_1) \cdot \text{t}(h_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \text{t}(h_{n-1}) \cdot \text{t}(h_n). \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.1 *If $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$ is a Hermitian matrix, then*

$$\text{rdet}_1 \mathbf{A} = \dots = \text{rdet}_n \mathbf{A} = \text{cdet}_1 \mathbf{A} = \dots = \text{cdet}_n \mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Proof. At first we note that if a matrix $\mathbf{A} = (a_{ij}) \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$ is Hermitian, then we have $a_{ii} \in \mathbf{R}$ and $a_{ij} = \overline{a_{ji}}$, $(\forall i, j = \overline{1, n})$. We divide the set of monomials of some $\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}$ $(\forall i = \overline{1, n})$ into two subsets. If indices of coefficients of monomials form permutations as products of disjoint cycles of length 1 and 2, then we include these monomials in the first subset. Other monomials belong to the second subset. If indices of coefficients form a disjoint cycle of length 1, then these coefficients are entries of the principal diagonal of the Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A}$. Hence, they are real numbers. If indices of coefficients form a disjoint cycle of length 2, then these elements are conjugated, $a_{i_k i_{k+1}} = \overline{a_{i_{k+1} i_k}}$, and their product takes on a real value as well,

$$a_{i_k i_{k+1}} \cdot a_{i_{k+1} i_k} = \overline{a_{i_{k+1} i_k}} \cdot a_{i_{k+1} i_k} = n(a_{i_{k+1} i_k}) \in \mathbf{R}.$$

So, all monomials of the first subset take on real values.

Now we consider some monomial d from the second subset. Assume that indices of its coefficients form a permutation as a product of r disjoint cycles. Denote $i_{k_1} := i$.

$$\begin{aligned} d = & (-1)^{n-r} a_{i_{k_1} i_{k_1+1}} \dots a_{i_{k_1+l_1} i_{k_1}} a_{i_{k_2} i_{k_2+1}} \dots a_{i_{k_2+l_2} i_{k_2}} \dots a_{i_{k_m} i_{k_m+1}} \dots \times \\ & \times a_{i_{k_m+l_m} i_{k_m}} \dots a_{i_{k_r} i_{k_r+1}} \dots a_{i_{k_r+l_r} i_{k_r}} = (-1)^{n-r} h_1 h_2 \dots h_m \dots h_r, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $h_s = a_{i_{k_s} i_{k_s+1}} \dots a_{i_{k_s+l_s} i_{k_s}}$, $(\forall s = \overline{1, r})$, and $m \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. If $l_s = 1$, then $h_s = a_{i_{k_s} i_{k_s+1}} a_{i_{k_s+1} i_{k_s}} = n(a_{i_{k_s} i_{k_s+1}}) \in \mathbf{R}$. If $l_s = 0$, then $h_s = a_{i_{k_s} i_{k_s}} \in \mathbf{R}$. If $l_s = \overline{0, 1}$ for $\forall s = \overline{1, r}$ in (6), then we obtain a monomial of the first subset. Let $\exists s \in I_n$ such that $l_s \geq 2$. Then

$$\overline{h_s} = \overline{a_{i_{k_s} i_{k_s+1}} \dots a_{i_{k_s+l_s} i_{k_s}}} = \overline{a_{i_{k_s+l_s} i_{k_s}} \dots a_{i_{k_s} i_{k_s+1}}} = a_{i_{k_s} i_{k_s+l_s}} \dots a_{i_{k_s+1} i_{k_s}}.$$

Denote by $\sigma_s(i_{k_s}) := (i_{k_s} i_{k_s+1} \dots i_{k_s+l_s})$ a disjoint cycle of indices of d , $(\forall s = \overline{1, r})$. The disjoint cycle $\sigma_s(i_{k_s})$ corresponds to the factor h_s . Then $\sigma_s^{-1}(i_{k_s}) = (i_{k_s+l_s} i_{k_s+1} \dots i_{k_s+1})$ is the inverse disjoint cycle and $\sigma_s^{-1}(i_{k_s})$ corresponds to $\overline{h_s}$, $(\forall s = \overline{1, r})$. By Lemma 3.1 for d there exist another $2^p - 1$ monomials, (where $p = r - \rho$ and ρ is the number of disjoint cycles of length 1 and 2), such that their index permutations are written by the direct products of r disjoint cycles either $\sigma_s(i_{k_s})$ or $\sigma_s^{-1}(i_{k_s})$ by specifying their ordering by s

from 1 to r . These permutations are left ordered in the cycle representation according to Definition 2.4. Suppose C_1 is the sum of these $2^p - 1$ monomials and d . Then by Lemma 3.1 we obtain

$$C_1 = (-1)^{n-r} \alpha \, t(h_{\nu_1}) \dots t(h_{\nu_p}) \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Here $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ is the product of coefficients whose indices form disjoint cycles of length 1 and 2, $\nu_k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, $(\forall k = \overline{1, p})$. Thus for an arbitrary monomial from the second subset of $\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}$, we can find the 2^p monomials such that their sum takes on a real value. Therefore, $\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{R}$.

Now we prove the equality of all row determinants of $\mathbf{A}$. Consider $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}$ such that $j \neq i$, $(\forall j = \overline{1, n})$. We divide the set of monomials of $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}$ into two subsets using the same rule as for $\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}$. Monomials from the first subset are products of the real factors (either entries of the principal diagonal of $\mathbf{A}$ or norms of entries). Hence each monomial from the first subset of $\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}$ is equal to a corresponding monomial from the first subset of $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}$. Now consider the monomial d_1 from the second subset of monomials of $\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}$ consisting of coefficients that are equal to the coefficients of d but are placed in another arrangement. Consider all possibilities of the arrangement of coefficients in d_1 .

(i) Suppose indices of its coefficients form a permutation as a product of r disjoint cycles and these cycles coincide with the r disjoint cycles of d . But the index permutation of d distinguishes from the index permutation of d_1 by the ordering of disjoint cycles. Then we have

$$d_1 = (-1)^{n-r} \alpha h_\mu \dots h_\lambda,$$

where $\{\mu, \dots, \lambda\} = \{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_p\}$. By Lemma 3.1 there exist $2^p - 1$ monomials among the monomials from the second subset of $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}$ such that each of them is equal to a product of p factors either h_s or $\overline{h_s}$, $(\forall s \in \{\mu, \dots, \lambda\})$, multiplied by $(-1)^{n-r} \alpha$. Hence by Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$C_2 = (-1)^{n-r} \alpha \, t(h_\mu) \dots t(h_\lambda) = (-1)^{n-r} \alpha \, t(h_{\nu_1}) \dots t(h_{\nu_p}) = C_1.$$

(ii) Now suppose that in addition to the case (i) the index j is placed inside some disjoint cycle of indices of d , e.g. $j \in \{i_{k_m+1}, \dots, i_{k_m+l_m}\}$. Denote $j = i_{k_m+q}$. Then d_1 is represented as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= (-1)^{n-r} a_{i_{k_m+q} i_{k_m+q+1}} \dots a_{i_{k_m+l_m} i_{k_m}} a_{i_{k_m} i_{k_m+1}} \dots \times \\ &\times a_{i_{k_m+q-1} i_{k_m+q}} a_{i_{k_\mu} i_{k_\mu+1}} \dots a_{i_{k_\mu+l_\mu} i_{k_\mu}} \dots a_{i_{k_\lambda} i_{k_\lambda+1}} \dots a_{i_{k_\lambda+l_\lambda} i_{k_\lambda}} = \\ &= (-1)^{n-r} \alpha h_\mu \dots h_\lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $\{m, \mu, \dots, \lambda\} = \{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_p\}$. Except for $\tilde{h}_m$, each factor of d_1 in (7) corresponds to the equal factor of d in (6). We have $t(\tilde{h}_m) = t(h_m)$ by the rearrangement property of the trace. Hence by Lemma 3.1 and by analogy to the previous case, we obtain the following equality.

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 &= (-1)^{n-r} \alpha t(\tilde{h}_m) t(h_\mu) \dots t(h_\lambda) = \\ &= (-1)^{n-r} \alpha t(h_{\nu_1}) \dots t(h_m) \dots t(h_{\nu_p}) = C_1. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) If in addition to the case (i) the index i is placed inside some disjoint cycles of the index permutation of d_1 , then we apply the rearrangement property of the trace to a factor whose indices belong to this cycle. As in the previous cases among monomials from the second subset of $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}$, we find 2^p monomials such that by Lemma 3.1 their sum is equal to the sum of the corresponding 2^p monomials of $\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}$. Clearly, we obtain the same conclusion at association of all previous cases, then we apply the rearrangement property of the trace twice.

Thus, in any case each sum of 2^p corresponding monomials from the second subset of $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}$ is equal to the sum of 2^p monomials of $\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}$. Here p is the number of disjoint cycles of length more than 2. Thus,

$$\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A} = \text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{R}, \quad \forall i, j = \overline{1, n}.$$

Now we prove the equality $\text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A} = \text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}$ ($\forall i = \overline{1, n}$). We divide the set of monomials of $\text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A}$ into two subsets conforming to the same rule as for $\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}$. Each monomial from the first subset of $\text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A}$ is equal to the corresponding monomial of $\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}$ since their factors are real numbers (either entries of the principal diagonal of $\mathbf{A}$ or norms of entries of $\mathbf{A}$). Consider the monomial d_2 from the second subset of monomials of $\text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A}$ consisting of coefficients that are equal to the coefficients of d . The coefficients of d_2 are placed in the same ordering as for d but from left to right. If ρ is the number of disjoint cycles of length 1 and 2, and $p = r - \rho$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d_2 &= (-1)^{n-r} a_{i_{k_r} i_{k_r+l_r}} \dots a_{i_{k_r+1} i_{k_r}} \dots a_{i_{k_2} i_{k_2+l_2}} \dots a_{i_{k_2+1} i_{k_2}} \times \\ &\quad \times a_{i_{k_1} i_{k_1+l_1}} \dots a_{i_{k_1+1} i_{k_1}} = (-1)^{n-r} \alpha h_{\tau_p} \dots h_{\tau_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here α is a product of coefficients whose indices form disjoint cycles of length 1 and 2. We have

$$h_{\tau_s} = a_{i_{k_s} i_{k_s+l_s}} \cdot \dots \cdot a_{i_{k_s+1} i_{k_s}} = \overline{a_{i_{k_s} i_{k_s+1}} \cdot \dots \cdot a_{i_{k_s+l_s} i_{k_s}}} = \overline{h_{\nu_s}} \quad \forall s = \overline{1, p}.$$

By Lemma 3.1 among monomials from the second subset of $\text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A}$, there exist $2^p - 1$ monomials for d_2 such that each of them is equal to a product of p factors, either h_{τ_s} or $\overline{h_{\tau_s}}$ ($s = \overline{1, p}$), by specifying their right-ordering, and is multiplied by $(-1)^{n-r} \alpha$. Consider the sum C_3 of these monomials and d . Due to commutativity of real numbers and by Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_3 &= (-1)^{n-r} \alpha t(h_{\tau_p}) \dots t(h_{\tau_1}) = (-1)^{n-r} \alpha t(\overline{h_{\nu_p}}) \dots t(\overline{h_{\nu_1}}) = \\ &= (-1)^{n-r} \alpha t(h_{\nu_1}) \dots t(h_{\nu_p}) = C_1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, each sum of the 2^p corresponding monomials from the second subset of $\text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A}$ is equal to a sum of the 2^p monomials of $\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}$ and vice versa.

Thus, $\text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A} = \text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{R} \quad (\forall i = \overline{1, n}). \blacksquare$

Remark 3.1 *Since all column and row determinants of a Hermitian matrix over $\mathbf{H}$ are equal, we can define the determinant of a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$. By definition, put*

$$\det \mathbf{A} := \text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A} = \text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A}, \quad \forall i = \overline{1, n}.$$

The determinant of a Hermitian matrix satisfies Axiom 1. It follows from Theorem 5.1 and Corollary 4.1.

Remark 3.2 *By Lemma 3.1 we have*

$$\det \mathbf{A} = - \sum_{\sigma \in I_n} a_{i_j} \cdot \text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_{\cdot j}^{ii}(\mathbf{a}_i) + a_{ii} \cdot \text{rdet}_k \mathbf{A}^{ii}, \quad k = \min \{I_n \setminus \{i\}\}. \quad (8)$$

By comparing expressions (1) and (8) for a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$, we conclude that the row determinant of a Hermitian matrix coincides with the Moore determinant. Hence the row and column determinants extend the Moore determinant to an arbitrary square matrix.

4 Properties of the column and row determinants of a Hermitian matrix

Theorem 4.1 *If the matrix $\mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i)$ is obtained from a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$ by replacing its j th row with the i th row, then*

$$\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i) = 0, \quad (\forall i, j = \overline{1, n}, i \neq j).$$

Proof. We assume $n > 3$ for $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{M}(n, \mathbf{H})$. The case $n \leq 3$ can be easily proved by direct calculation. Consider some monomial d of $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i)$. Suppose indices of its coefficients form a permutation as a product of r disjoint cycles, and denote $i = i_s$. Consider all possibilities of disposition of an entry of the i_s th row in the monomial d .

(i) Suppose an entry of the i_s th row is placed in d such that the index i_s opens some disjoint cycle, i.e.:

$$d = (-1)^{n-r} a_{ji_1} \dots a_{i_k j} u_1 \dots u_\rho a_{i_s i_{s+1}} \dots a_{i_{s+m} i_s} v_1 \dots v_p \quad (9)$$

Here by u_τ and v_t we denote products of coefficients whose indices form some disjoint cycles ($\forall \tau = \overline{1, \rho}$, $\forall t = \overline{1, p}$, $\rho + p = r - 2$) or there are no such products. For d there are the following three monomials of $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i)$.

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= (-1)^{n-r+1} a_{ji_{s+1}} \dots a_{i_{s+m} i_s} a_{i_s i_1} \dots a_{i_k j} u_1 \dots u_\rho v_1 \dots v_p, \\ d_2 &= (-1)^{n-r+1} a_{ji_{s+m}} \dots a_{i_{s+1} i_s} a_{i_s i_1} \dots a_{i_k j} u_1 \dots u_\rho v_1 \dots v_p, \\ d_3 &= (-1)^{n-r} a_{ji_1} \dots a_{i_k j} u_1 \dots u_\rho a_{i_s i_{s+m}} \dots a_{i_{s+1} i_s} v_1 \dots v_p. \end{aligned}$$

If $a_{ji_1} \dots a_{i_k j} = x$ and $a_{i_s i_{s+1}} \dots a_{i_{s+m} i_s} = y$, then $\bar{y} = a_{i_s i_{s+m}} \dots a_{i_{s+1} i_s}$. Taking into account $a_{ji_1} = a_{i_s i_1}$, $a_{ji_{s-1}} = a_{i_s i_{s-1}}$ and $a_{ji_{s+1}} = a_{i_s i_{s+1}}$, we consider the sum of these monomials.

$$d + d_1 + d_2 + d_3 = (-1)^{n-r} (xu_1 \dots u_\rho y - yxu_1 \dots u_\rho - \bar{y} \cdot xu_1 \dots u_\rho + xu_1 \dots u_\rho \bar{y}) v_1 \dots v_p = (-1)^{n-r} (xu_1 \dots u_\rho t(y) - t(y)xu_1 \dots u_\rho) v_1 \dots v_p = 0$$

Thus among the monomials of $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i)$ we find three monomials for d such that the sum of these monomials and d is equal to zero.

If in (9) $m = 0$ or $m = 1$, we obtain such monomials accordingly:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d} &= (-1)^{n-r} a_{ji_1} \dots a_{i_k j} u_1 \dots u_\rho a_{i_s i_s} v_1 \dots v_p, \\ \widehat{d} &= (-1)^{n-r} a_{ji_1} \dots a_{i_k j} u_1 \dots u_\rho a_{i_s i_{s+1}} a_{i_{s+1} i_s} v_1 \dots v_p. \end{aligned}$$

There are the following monomials for them:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_1 &= (-1)^{n-r+1} a_{ji_s} a_{i_s i_1} \dots a_{i_k j} u_1 \dots u_\rho v_1 \dots v_p, \\ \widehat{d}_1 &= (-1)^{n-r+1} a_{ji_{s+1}} a_{i_{s+1} i_s} a_{i_s i_1} \dots a_{i_k j} u_1 \dots u_\rho v_1 \dots v_p. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account $a_{ji_1} = a_{i_s i_1}$, $a_{ji_s} = a_{i_s i_s} \in \mathbf{R}$, $a_{ji_{s+1}} = a_{i_s i_{s+1}}$, and $a_{i_s i_{s+1}} a_{i_{s+1} i_s} \in \mathbf{R}$, we get $\tilde{d} + \tilde{d}_1 = 0$, $\widehat{d} + \widehat{d}_1 = 0$. Hence, the sums of corresponding two monomials of $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i)$ are equal to zero in this case.

ii) Now suppose that the index i_s is placed in another disjoint cycle than the index j and does not open this cycle,

$$\widetilde{d} = (-1)^{n-r} a_{ji_1} \dots a_{i_k j} u_1 \dots u_\rho a_{i_q i_{q+1}} \dots a_{i_{s-1} i_s} a_{i_s i_{s+1}} \dots a_{i_{q-1} i_q} v_1 \dots v_p.$$

Here by u_τ and v_t we denote products of coefficients whose indices form some disjoint cycles ($\tau = \overline{1, \rho}$, $t = \overline{1, p}$, $\rho + p = r - 2$) or there are no such products. Now for d there are the following three monomials of $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{d}_1 &= (-1)^{n-r+1} a_{ji_{s+1}} \dots a_{i_{q-1} i_q} a_{i_q i_{q+1}} \dots a_{i_{s-1} i_s} a_{i_s i_1} \dots a_{i_k j} u_1 \dots u_\rho v_1 \dots v_p, \\ \widetilde{d}_2 &= (-1)^{n-r+1} a_{ji_{s-1}} \dots a_{i_{q+1} i_q} a_{i_q i_{q-1}} \dots a_{i_{s+1} i_s} a_{i_s i_1} \dots a_{i_k j} u_1 \dots u_\rho v_1 \dots v_p, \\ \widetilde{d}_3 &= (-1)^{n-r} a_{ji_1} \dots a_{i_k j} u_1 \dots u_\rho a_{i_q i_{q-1}} \dots a_{i_{s+1} i_s} a_{i_s i_{s-1}} \dots a_{i_{q+1} i_q} v_1 \dots v_p. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $a_{i_s i_{s+1}} \dots a_{i_{q-1} i_q} = \varphi$, $a_{i_q i_{q+1}} \dots a_{i_{s-1} i_s} = \phi$, $a_{ji_1} \dots a_{i_k j} = x$, $a_{i_q i_{q+1}} \dots a_{i_{s-1} i_s} a_{i_s i_{s+1}} \dots a_{i_{q-1} i_q} = y$, $a_{i_s i_{s+1}} \dots a_{i_{q-1} i_q} a_{i_q i_{q+1}} \dots a_{i_{s-1} i_s} = y_1$. Then we obtain $y = \phi \varphi$, $y_1 = \varphi \phi$, $\overline{y} = a_{i_q i_{q-1}} \dots a_{i_{s+1} i_s} a_{i_s i_{s-1}} \dots a_{i_{q+1} i_q}$, and $\overline{y_1} = a_{i_s i_{s-1}} \dots a_{i_{q+1} i_q} a_{i_q i_{q-1}} \dots a_{i_{s+1} i_s}$. Accounting for $a_{ji_1} = a_{i_s i_1}$, $a_{ji_{s-1}} = a_{i_s i_{s-1}}$, $a_{ji_{s+1}} = a_{i_s i_{s+1}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{d} + \widetilde{d}_1 + \widetilde{d}_2 + \widetilde{d}_3 &= \\ &= (-1)^{n-r} (xu_1 \dots u_\rho y - y_1 xu_1 \dots u_\rho - \overline{y_1} xu_1 \dots u_\rho + xu_1 \dots u_\rho \overline{y}) \times \\ &\quad \times v_1 \dots v_p = (-1)^{n-r} (xu_1 \dots u_\rho t(y) - t(y_1) xu_1 \dots u_\rho) v_1 \dots v_p = \\ &= (-1)^{n-r} (t(\phi \cdot \varphi) - t(\varphi \cdot \phi)) xu_1 \dots u_\rho v_1 \dots v_p. \end{aligned}$$

Since by the rearrangement property of the trace $t(\phi \cdot \varphi) = t(\varphi \cdot \phi)$, then we obtain $\widetilde{d} + \widetilde{d}_1 + \widetilde{d}_2 + \widetilde{d}_3 = 0$.

(iii) If the indices i_s and j are placed in the same cycle, then we have the following monomials: d_1 , $\widetilde{d}_1$, $\widehat{d}_1$ or $\widetilde{d}_1$. As shown above, for each of them there are another one or three monomials of $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i)$ such that the sums of these two or four corresponding monomials are equal to zero.

We have considered all possible kinds of disposition of an element of the i_s th row as a factor of some monomial d of $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i)$. In each case there exist one or three corresponding monomials for d such that the sum of two or four monomials is equal to zero respectively. Hence, $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i) = 0$. ■

Corollary 4.1 *If a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$ consists two same rows (columns), then $\det \mathbf{A} = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose the i th row of $\mathbf{A}$ coincides with the j th row, i.e. $a_{ik} = a_{jk} \forall k \in I_n, \{i, j\} \in I_n, i \neq j$. Then $\overline{a_{ik}} = \overline{a_{jk}}, (\forall k \in I_n)$. Since the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is Hermitian, we get $\forall k \in I_n, a_{ki} = a_{kj}$, where $\{i, j\} \in I_n, i \neq j$. This means that if a Hermitian matrix has two same rows, then it has two same corresponding columns as well. The matrix $\mathbf{A}$ may be represented as $\mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i)$, where the matrix $\mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i)$ is obtained from $\mathbf{A}$ by replacing the j th row with the i th row. Then by Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\det \mathbf{A} = \text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A} = \text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i) = 0. \blacksquare$$

Theorem 4.2 *If the matrix $\mathbf{A}_i(\mathbf{a}_j)$ is obtained from a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$ by replacing of its i th column with the j th column, then $\text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A}_i(\mathbf{a}_j) = 0, (\forall i, j = \overline{1, n}, i \neq j)$.*

Proof. The proof of this theorem is analogous to that of Theorem 4.1.

From Theorems 4.1, 4.2 and basic properties of row and column determinants for arbitrary matrices we have the following theorems.

Theorem 4.3 *If the matrix $\mathbf{A}_i(b \cdot \mathbf{a}_j)$ is obtained from a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$ by replacing of its i th row with the j th row multiplied by $b \in \mathbf{H}$ on the left, then $\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}_i(b \cdot \mathbf{a}_j) = 0, (\forall i, j = \overline{1, n}, i \neq j)$.*

Theorem 4.4 *If the matrix $\mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i \cdot b)$ is obtained from a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$ by replacing of its j th column with the i th column right-multiplied by $b \in \mathbf{H}$, then $\text{cdet}_j \mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i \cdot b) = 0, (\forall i, j = \overline{1, n}, i \neq j)$.*

Theorem 4.5 *If the matrix $\mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i \cdot b)$ is obtained from a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$ by replacing of its j th column with the i th column multiplied by $b \in \mathbf{H}$ on the right, then $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i \cdot b) = 0, (\forall i, j = \overline{1, n}, i \neq j)$.*

Proof. We assume $n > 3$ for $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$. The case $n \leq 3$ can be easily proved by direct calculation. Consider some monomial d of $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i \cdot b)$. Suppose indices of its coefficients form a permutation as a product of r disjoint cycles, and denote $i = i_s$. Consider all possibilities of disposition of an entry of the i_s th row in the monomial d .

(i) Suppose an entry of the i_s th row is placed in d such that the index i_s opens some disjoint cycle, i.e.:

$$d = (-1)^{n-r} a_{ji_1} \dots a_{i_k j} b u_1 \dots u_p a_{i_s i_{s+1}} \dots a_{i_{s+m} i_s} v_1 \dots v_p, \quad (10)$$

Here we denote by u_τ and v_t products of coefficients whose indices form disjoint cycles ($\forall \tau = \overline{1, \rho}, \forall t = \overline{1, p}, \rho + p = r - 2$) or there are no such products. For d there are the following three monomials of $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_{\cdot j}(\mathbf{a}_{\cdot i} \cdot b)$.

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= (-1)^{n-r} a_{ji_1} \cdots a_{ikj} \cdot b \cdot u_1 \cdots u_\rho \cdot a_{is i_{s+m}} \cdots a_{is+1 i_s} \cdot v_1 \cdots v_p, \\ d_2 &= (-1)^{n-r+1} a_{ji_1} \cdots a_{ik i_s} \cdot a_{is i_{s+1}} \cdots a_{is+m j} \cdot b \cdot u_1 \cdots u_\rho \cdot v_1 \cdots v_p, \\ d_3 &= (-1)^{n-r+1} a_{ji_1} \cdots a_{ik i_s} \cdot a_{is i_{s+m}} \cdots a_{is+1 j} \cdot b \cdot u_1 \cdots u_\rho \cdot v_1 \cdots v_p. \end{aligned}$$

If $a_{ji_1} \cdots a_{ikj} = x$, $a_{is i_{s+1}} \cdots a_{is+m i_s} = y$, then $\bar{y} = a_{is i_{s+m}} \cdots a_{is+1 i_s}$. Taking into account $a_{ikj} = a_{ik i_s}$, $a_{is+m j} = a_{is+m i_s}$, $a_{is+1 j} = a_{is+1 i_s}$, we consider the sum of these monomials.

$$\begin{aligned} d + d_1 + d_2 + d_3 &= \\ &= (-1)^{n-r} (x \cdot b \cdot u_1 \cdots u_\rho \cdot y + x \cdot b \cdot u_1 \cdots u_\rho \cdot \bar{y} - x \cdot y \cdot b \cdot u_1 \cdots u_\rho - \\ &\quad - x \cdot \bar{y} \cdot b \cdot u_1 \cdots u_\rho) \cdot v_1 \cdots v_p = (-1)^{n-r} (x \cdot b \cdot u_1 \cdots u_\rho \cdot (y + \bar{y}) - \\ &\quad - x \cdot (y + \bar{y}) \cdot b \cdot u_1 \cdots u_\rho) \cdot v_1 \cdots v_p = (-1)^{n-r} (x \cdot b \cdot u_1 \cdots u_\rho \cdot t(y) - \\ &\quad - x \cdot t(y) \cdot b \cdot u_1 \cdots u_\rho) \cdot v_1 \cdots v_p = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus among the monomials of $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_{\cdot j}(\mathbf{a}_{\cdot i} \cdot b)$ we find three monomials for d such that the sum of these monomials and d is equal to zero. If in (10) $m = 0$ or $m = 1$, we obtain such monomials accordingly:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d} &= (-1)^{n-r} a_{ji_1} \cdots a_{ikj} \cdot b \cdot u_1 \cdots u_\rho \cdot a_{is i_s} \cdot v_1 \cdots v_p, \\ \widetilde{\tilde{d}} &= (-1)^{n-r} a_{ji_1} \cdots a_{ikj} \cdot b \cdot u_1 \cdots u_\rho \cdot a_{is i_{s+1}} \cdot a_{is+1 i_s} \cdot v_1 \cdots v_p. \end{aligned}$$

There are the following monomials for them.

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d}_1 &= (-1)^{n-r+1} a_{ji_1} \cdots a_{ik i_s} a_{is j} \cdot b \cdot u_1 \cdots u_\rho \cdot v_1 \cdots v_p, \\ \widetilde{\tilde{d}}_1 &= (-1)^{n-r+1} a_{ji_1} \cdots a_{ik i_s} \cdot a_{is i_{s+1}} \cdot a_{is+1 j} \cdot b \cdot u_1 \cdots u_\rho \cdot v_1 \cdots v_p, \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account $a_{ikj} = a_{ik i_s}$, $a_{is j} = a_{is i_s}$, $a_{is+1 j} = a_{is+1 i_s}$, and $a_{is i_s} \in \mathbf{R}$, $a_{is i_{s+1}} a_{is+1 i_s} = n(a_{is i_{s+1}}) \in \mathbf{R}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d} + \tilde{d}_1 &= (-1)^{n-r} (a_{ji_1} \cdots a_{ikj} \cdot b \cdot u_1 \cdots u_\rho \cdot a_{is i_s} - \\ &\quad - a_{ji_1} \cdots a_{ik i_s} \cdot a_{is j} \cdot b \cdot u_1 \cdots u_\rho) \cdot v_1 \cdots v_p = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\tilde{d}} + \widetilde{\tilde{d}}_1 &= (-1)^{n-r} (a_{ji_1} \cdots a_{ikj} \cdot b \cdot u_1 \cdots u_\rho \cdot n(a_{is i_{s+1}}) - \\ &\quad - a_{ji_1} \cdots a_{ik i_s} \cdot n(a_{is i_{s+1}}) \cdot b \cdot u_1 \cdots u_\rho) \cdot v_1 \cdots v_p = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the sums of corresponding two monomials of $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_{\cdot j}(\mathbf{a}_{\cdot i} \cdot b)$ are equal to zero in this case.

(ii) Now suppose that the index i_s is placed in another disjoint cycle than the index j and does not open this cycle,

$$\hat{d} = (-1)^{n-r} a_{ji_1} \dots a_{i_k j} b u_1 \dots u_\rho a_{i_q i_{q+1}} \dots a_{i_{s-1} i_s} a_{i_s i_{s+1}} \dots a_{i_{q-1} i_q} v_1 \dots v_p.$$

Here we denote by u_τ and v_t products of coefficients whose indices form disjoint cycles ($\tau = \overline{1, \rho}$, $t = \overline{1, p}$, $\rho + p = r - 2$) or there are no such products. Now for d we have the following three monomials of $\text{rdet}_{\mathbf{A},j}(\mathbf{a}_i \cdot b)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{d}_1 &= (-1)^{n-r} a_{ji_1} \dots a_{i_k j} b u_1 \dots u_\rho a_{i_q i_{q-1}} \dots a_{i_{s+1} i_s} a_{i_s i_{s-1}} \dots a_{i_{q+1} i_q} v_1 \dots v_p, \\ \hat{d}_2 &= (-1)^{n-r} a_{ji_1} \dots a_{i_k i_s} a_{i_s i_{s-1}} \dots a_{i_{q+1} i_q} a_{i_q i_{q-1}} \dots a_{i_{s+1} i_s} b u_1 \dots u_\rho v_1 \dots v_p, \\ \hat{d}_3 &= (-1)^{n-r} a_{ji_1} \dots a_{i_k i_s} a_{i_s i_{s-1}} \dots a_{i_{q+1} i_q} a_{i_q i_{q-1}} \dots a_{i_{s+1} i_s} b u_1 \dots u_\rho v_1 \dots v_p \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $a_{ji_1} \dots a_{i_k j} = x$, $a_{i_q i_{q+1}} \dots a_{i_{s-1} i_s} = \phi$, $a_{i_s i_{s+1}} \dots a_{i_{q-1} i_q} = \varphi$, then $a_{i_s i_{s-1}} \dots a_{i_{q+1} i_q} = \bar{\phi}$, $a_{i_q i_{q-1}} \dots a_{i_{s+1} i_s} = \bar{\varphi}$. Taking into account $a_{i_k j} = a_{i_k i_s}$, $a_{i_{s-1} j} = a_{i_{s-1} i_s}$, $a_{i_{s+1} j} = a_{i_{s+1} i_s}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{d} + \hat{d}_1 + \hat{d}_2 + \hat{d}_3 &= \\ &= (-1)^{n-r} (x b u_1 \dots u_\rho \phi \varphi + x b u_1 \dots u_\rho \bar{\varphi} \bar{\phi} - \\ &\quad - x \varphi \phi b u_1 \dots u_\rho - x \bar{\varphi} \bar{\phi} b u_1 \dots u_\rho) v_1 \dots v_p = \\ &= (-1)^{n-r} (x b u_1 \dots u_\rho (\phi \varphi + \bar{\phi} \bar{\varphi}) - x (\varphi \phi + \bar{\varphi} \bar{\phi}) b u_1 \dots u_\rho) v_1 \dots v_p = \\ &= (-1)^{n-r} (x b u_1 \dots u_\rho t(\phi \varphi) - x t(\varphi \phi) b u_1 \dots u_\rho) v_1 \dots v_p. \end{aligned}$$

Since $t(\phi \cdot \varphi) = t(\varphi \cdot \phi)$ by the rearrangement property of the trace, then we obtain $\hat{d} + \hat{d}_1 + \hat{d}_2 + \hat{d}_3 = 0$.

(iii) If the indices i_s and j are placed in the same cycle, then we have the following monomials: d_2 or d_3 , $\hat{d}_2$ or $\hat{d}_3$, and either $\tilde{d}_1$ or $\tilde{\tilde{d}}_1$. As shown above, for each of them there are another one or three monomials of $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i \cdot b)$ such that the sums of these two or four corresponding monomials are equal to zero.

We have considered all possible kinds of disposition of an entry of the i_s th row as a factor of some monomial d of $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i \cdot b)$. In each case there exist one or three corresponding monomials for d such that the sums of these two or four monomials are equal to zero respectively. Hence, $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i \cdot b) = 0$, $(\forall i, j = \overline{1, n}, i \neq j)$. ■

Corollary 4.2 *If the matrix $\mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i)$ is obtained from a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$ by replacing of its j th column with the i th column, then $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_j(\mathbf{a}_i) = 0$, $(\forall i, j = \overline{1, n}, i \neq j)$.*

Proof. The proof of this lemma follows from Theorem 4.5 by put $b = 1$.

Theorem 4.6 *If the matrix $\mathbf{A}_i.(b \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.)$ is obtained from a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{M}(n, \mathbf{H})$ by replacing of its i th row with the j th row multiplied by $b \in \mathbf{H}$ on the left, then $\text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A}_i.(b \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.) = 0$, $(\forall i, j = \overline{1, n}, i \neq j)$.*

Proof. The proof of this theorem is analogous to that of Theorem 4.5.

Corollary 4.3 *If the matrix $\mathbf{A}_i.(\mathbf{a}_j.)$ is obtained from a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{M}(n, \mathbf{H})$ by replacing of its i th row with the j th, then $\text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A}_i.(\mathbf{a}_j.) = 0$, $(\forall i, j = \overline{1, n}, i \neq j)$.*

Proof. The proof of this corollary follows from Theorem 4.6 by put $b = 1$.

The following theorems immediately follows from the previous theorems and basic properties of the row and column determinants for arbitrary matrices.

Theorem 4.7 *If the i th row of a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{M}(n, \mathbf{H})$ is replaced with a left linear combination of its other rows, i.e. $\mathbf{a}_{i.} = c_1 \mathbf{a}_{i_1.} + \dots + c_k \mathbf{a}_{i_k.}$, where $c_l \in \mathbf{H}$ for $\forall l = \overline{1, k}$ and $\{i, i_l\} \subset I_n$, then*

$$\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}_i.(c_1 \mathbf{a}_{i_1.} + \dots + c_k \mathbf{a}_{i_k.}) = \text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A}_i.(c_1 \mathbf{a}_{i_1.} + \dots + c_k \mathbf{a}_{i_k.}) = 0.$$

Corollary 4.4 *If some row of a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{M}(n, \mathbf{H})$ is a left linear combination of its other rows, then $\det \mathbf{A} = 0$.*

Proof Let $\mathbf{a}_{i.} = c_1 \mathbf{a}_{i_1.} + \dots + c_k \mathbf{a}_{i_k.}$, where $c_l \in \mathbf{H}$ for $\forall l = \overline{1, k}$ and $\{i, i_l\} \subset I_n$. The matrix $\mathbf{A}$ may be represented as $\mathbf{A}_i.(c_1 \mathbf{a}_{i_1.} + \dots + c_k \mathbf{a}_{i_k.})$. Then by Theorem 4.7, we have

$$\det \mathbf{A} = \text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A} = \text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}_i.(c_1 \mathbf{a}_{i_1.} + \dots + c_k \mathbf{a}_{i_k.}) = 0. \blacksquare$$

Theorem 4.8 *If the j th column of a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{M}(n, \mathbf{H})$ is replaced with a right linear combination of its other columns, i.e. $\mathbf{a}_{.j} = \mathbf{a}_{.j_1} c_1 + \dots + \mathbf{a}_{.j_k} c_k$, where $c_l \in \mathbf{H}$ for $\forall l = \overline{1, k}$ and $\{j, j_l\} \subset J_n$, then*

$$\text{cdet}_j \mathbf{A}_{.j}(\mathbf{a}_{.j_1} c_1 + \dots + \mathbf{a}_{.j_k} c_k) = \text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_{.j}(\mathbf{a}_{.j_1} c_1 + \dots + \mathbf{a}_{.j_k} c_k) = 0.$$

Corollary 4.5 *If some column of a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{M}(n, \mathbf{H})$ is a right linear combination of its other columns, then $\det \mathbf{A} = 0$.*

Proof. The proof of this corollary is analogous to that of Corollary 4.4 and follows from Theorem 4.8.

Theorem 4.9 *If the i th row of a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{M}(n, \mathbf{H})$ is added a left linear combination of its other rows, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}_{i \cdot} (\mathbf{a}_{i \cdot} + c_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_{i_1 \cdot} + \dots + c_k \cdot \mathbf{a}_{i_k \cdot}) &= \\ = \text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A}_{i \cdot} (\mathbf{a}_{i \cdot} + c_1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_{i_1 \cdot} + \dots + c_k \cdot \mathbf{a}_{i_k \cdot}) &= \det \mathbf{A}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_l \in \mathbf{H}$ for $\forall l = \overline{1, k}$ and $\{i, i_l\} \subset I_n$.

Theorem 4.10 *If the j th column of a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{M}(n, \mathbf{H})$ is added a right linear combination of its other columns, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cdet}_j \mathbf{A}_{\cdot j} (\mathbf{a}_{\cdot j} + \mathbf{a}_{\cdot j_1} c_1 + \dots + \mathbf{a}_{\cdot j_k} c_k) &= \\ = \text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_{\cdot j} (\mathbf{a}_{\cdot j} + \mathbf{a}_{\cdot j_1} c_1 + \dots + \mathbf{a}_{\cdot j_k} c_k) &= \det \mathbf{A}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_l \in \mathbf{H}$ for $\forall l = \overline{1, k}$ and $\{j, j_l\} \subset J_n$.

5 The inverse of a Hermitian matrix

Definition 5.1 *A Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{M}(n, \mathbf{H})$ is called nonsingular if $\det \mathbf{A} \neq 0$.*

Theorem 5.1 *There exists a unique right inverse matrix $(R\mathbf{A})^{-1}$ and a unique left inverse matrix $(L\mathbf{A})^{-1}$ of a nonsingular Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{M}(n, \mathbf{H})$ such that $(R\mathbf{A})^{-1} = (L\mathbf{A})^{-1} =: \mathbf{A}^{-1}$, where*

$$\begin{aligned} (R\mathbf{A})^{-1} &= \frac{1}{\det \mathbf{A}} \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{21} & \dots & R_{n1} \\ R_{12} & R_{22} & \dots & R_{n2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ R_{1n} & R_{2n} & \dots & R_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, \\ (L\mathbf{A})^{-1} &= \frac{1}{\det \mathbf{A}} \begin{pmatrix} L_{11} & L_{21} & \dots & L_{n1} \\ L_{12} & L_{22} & \dots & L_{n2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ L_{1n} & L_{2n} & \dots & L_{nn} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

R_{ij} , L_{ij} are right and left ij -th cofactor of $\mathbf{A}$ respectively, $(\forall i, j = \overline{1, n})$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A} \cdot (\mathbf{R}\mathbf{A})^{-1}$. We obtain the entries of $\mathbf{B}$ by direct calculations.

$$b_{ii} = (\det \mathbf{A})^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \cdot R_{ij} = (\det \mathbf{A})^{-1} \text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A} = \frac{\det \mathbf{A}}{\det \mathbf{A}} = 1, \quad (\forall i = \overline{1, n}),$$

$$b_{ij} = (\det \mathbf{A})^{-1} \sum_{s=1}^n a_{is} \cdot R_{js} = (\det \mathbf{A})^{-1} \text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_i.(\mathbf{a}_i.), \quad (i \neq j).$$

If $i \neq j$, then by Theorem 4.1 $\text{rdet}_j \mathbf{A}_i.(\mathbf{a}_i.) = 0$. Consequently $b_{ij} = 0$. Thus $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{I}$ and $(\mathbf{R}\mathbf{A})^{-1}$ is the right inverse of the Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A}$.

Suppose now that $\mathbf{D} = (\mathbf{L}\mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}$. We again get the entries of $\mathbf{D}$ by multiplying matrices.

$$d_{ii} = (\det \mathbf{A})^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n L_{ij} \cdot a_{ij} = (\det \mathbf{A})^{-1} \text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A} = \frac{\det \mathbf{A}}{\det \mathbf{A}} = 1, \quad (\forall i = \overline{1, n}),$$

$$d_{ij} = (\det \mathbf{A})^{-1} \sum_{s=1}^n L_{si} \cdot a_{sj} = (\det \mathbf{A})^{-1} \text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A}_i.(\mathbf{a}_j.), \quad (i \neq j).$$

If $i \neq j$, then by Theorem 4.2 $\text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A}_i.(\mathbf{a}_j.) = 0$. Therefore $d_{ij} = 0$. Thus $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{I}$ and $(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{A})^{-1}$ is the left inverse of the Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A}$.

The equality $(\mathbf{R}\mathbf{A})^{-1} = (\mathbf{L}\mathbf{A})^{-1}$ is immediate from the well-known fact that if there exists an inverse matrix over an arbitrary skew field, then it is unique. ■

6 Properties of the corresponding Hermitian matrices

Denote by $\mathbf{H}^{m \times n}$ the set of $m \times n$ matrices with entries in $\mathbf{H}$.

Definition 6.1 If $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{H}^{m \times n}$, then the matrix $\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{M}(n, \mathbf{H})$ is called its left corresponding Hermitian and $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^* \in \mathbf{M}(m, \mathbf{H})$ is called its right corresponding Hermitian matrix.

Theorem 6.1 If an arbitrary column of $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{H}^{m \times n}$ is a right linear combination of its other columns, then $\det \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} = 0$.

Proof. Let the j th column of $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{H}^{m \times n}$ be a right linear combination of its other columns. That is $\mathbf{a}_{.j} = \mathbf{a}_{.j_1} c_1 + \dots + \mathbf{a}_{.j_k} c_k$, where $c_l \in \mathbf{H}$ for $\forall l = \overline{1, k}$ and $\{j, j_l\} \subset J_n$. Then the j th row of $\mathbf{A}^*$ is the left linear combination of its rows, $\mathbf{a}_{.j}^* = \overline{c_1} \mathbf{a}_{.j_1}^* + \dots + \overline{c_k} \mathbf{a}_{.j_k}^*$. Consider the Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A}$. It is easy to verify that the j th column of $\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A}$ is a right linear combination of its other columns. Therefore by Corollary 4.5 we have $\det \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} = 0$. ■

Theorem 6.2 *If some row of $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{H}^{m \times n}$ is a left linear combination of its other rows, then $\det \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^* = 0$.*

Proof. The proof of this theorem is analogous to that of Theorem 6.1 and follows from Corollary 4.4.

Remark 6.1 *Since the principal submatrices of a Hermitian matrix over $\mathbf{H}$ are Hermitian, then the basis principal minor may be defined in this noncommutative case as well.*

Definition 6.2 *The basis principal minor of a Hermitian matrix over $\mathbf{H}$ is defined as the nonzero determinant of the largest principal submatrix. Then rows and columns included in the basis principal minor are called the basis ones as well.*

Definition 6.3 *If rows and columns with indices $i_1, \dots, i_r$ of $\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A}$ are basis, then rows with indices $i_1, \dots, i_r$ of $\mathbf{A}^*$ are called basis and columns with indices $i_1, \dots, i_r$ of $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{H}^{m \times n}$ are called basis as well.*

The following theorem about the basis rows and columns from linear algebra generalize in a straight forward way to quaternions.

Theorem 6.3 *The basis rows of $\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{A}^* \in \mathbf{H}^{n \times m}$ are left-linearly independent, and the basis columns of $\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{H}^{m \times n}$ are right-linearly independent.*

Theorem 6.4 *An arbitrary column of $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{H}^{m \times n}$ is a right linear combination of its basis columns.*

Proof. If columns with indices $i_1, \dots, i_r$ are the basis columns of $\mathbf{A}$, then the basis principal minor of $\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A} =: (d_{ij})_{n \times n}$ is placed on crossing of its columns and rows with indices $i_1, \dots, i_r$ as well. Denote by $\mathbf{M}$ the matrix of the basis principal minor. Supplement it by the $(r+1)$ th row and column consisting of corresponding entries of the j -th row and column of $\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A}$ respectively. Suppose $j \in \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$. By $\mathbf{D}_j$ denote the obtained matrix.

$$\mathbf{D}_j = \begin{pmatrix} d_{i_1 i_1} & \cdots & d_{i_1 i_r} & d_{i_1 j} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ d_{i_r i_1} & \cdots & d_{i_r i_r} & d_{i_r j} \\ d_{j i_1} & \cdots & d_{j i_r} & d_{j j} \end{pmatrix}$$

Since the Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{D}_j$ contains two same columns, we obtain by Corollary 4.1 $\det \mathbf{D}_j = \text{cdet}_j \mathbf{D}_j = \sum_{l=1}^r L_{lj} \cdot d_{lj} + L_{jj} \cdot d_{jj} = 0$, where L_{lj} is the left lj -th cofactor of $\mathbf{D}_j$. Whereas $L_{jj} = \det \mathbf{M} \neq 0$, we get

$$d_{jj} = -\sum_{l=1}^r (\det \mathbf{M})^{-1} L_{lj} \cdot d_{lj} \quad \forall j \in \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}. \quad (11)$$

Now suppose that $j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_k, i_{k+1}, \dots, i_r\}$ and $i_k < j < i_{k+1}$. Consider the matrix $\mathbf{D}_j$ obtained from $\mathbf{M}$ by supplementing it by the j th row and column.

$$\mathbf{D}_j = \begin{pmatrix} d_{i_1 i_1} & \cdots & d_{i_1 i_k} & d_{i_1 j} & d_{i_1 i_{k+1}} & \cdots & d_{i_1 i_r} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ d_{i_k i_1} & \cdots & d_{i_k i_k} & d_{i_k j} & d_{i_k i_{k+1}} & \cdots & d_{i_k i_r} \\ d_{j i_1} & \cdots & d_{j i_k} & d_{jj} & d_{j i_{k+1}} & \cdots & d_{j i_r} \\ d_{i_{k+1} i_1} & \cdots & d_{i_{k+1} i_k} & d_{i_{k+1} j} & d_{i_{k+1} i_{k+1}} & \cdots & d_{i_{k+1} i_r} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ d_{i_r i_1} & \cdots & d_{i_r i_k} & d_{i_r j} & d_{i_r i_{k+1}} & \cdots & d_{i_r i_r} \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrix $\mathbf{D}_j$ is Hermitian in this case as well. Then we have

$$\det \mathbf{D}_j = \text{cdet}_j \mathbf{D}_j = \sum_{l=1}^r L_{lj} \cdot d_{lj} + L_{jj} \cdot d_{jj} = 0.$$

Since $L_{jj} = \det \mathbf{M} \neq 0$, then

$$d_{jj} = -\sum_{l=1}^r (\det \mathbf{M})^{-1} L_{lj} \cdot d_{lj}, \quad j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \subset I_n. \quad (12)$$

Combining (11) and (12), we obtain $d_{jj} = -\sum_{l=1}^r (\det \mathbf{M})^{-1} L_{lj} \cdot d_{lj}$, ($\forall j = \overline{1, n}$). If $-(\det \mathbf{M})^{-1} L_{lj} := \mu_l$, then $d_{jj} = \sum_{l=1}^r \mu_l \cdot d_{lj}$. Since $d_{jj} = \sum_{k=1}^m \overline{a_{kj}} a_{kj}$ and $d_{lj} = \sum_{k=1}^m \overline{a_{kl}} a_{kj}$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \overline{a_{kj}} a_{kj} = \sum_{l=1}^r \mu_l \sum_{k=1}^m \overline{a_{kl}} a_{kj} = \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^r \mu_l \overline{a_{kl}} a_{kj}.$$

Hence, $\overline{a_{kj}} = \sum_{l=1}^r \mu_l \overline{a_{k i_l}}$ and $a_{kj} = \sum_{l=1}^r a_{k i_l} \overline{\mu_l}$ ($\forall k = \overline{1, m}$). Therefore, an arbitrary column of the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is the right linear combination of its basis columns with the coefficients $\overline{\mu_1}, \dots, \overline{\mu_r}$, i.e.: $\mathbf{a}_{i_1} \cdot \overline{\mu_1} + \dots + \mathbf{a}_{i_r} \cdot \overline{\mu_r} = \mathbf{a}_{.j}$ ($\forall i_l \in I_n, \forall l = \overline{1, r}$). ■

The following theorem is proved in a similar manner.

Theorem 6.5 *An arbitrary row of $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{H}^{m \times n}$ is a left linear combination of its basis rows.*

An obvious conclusion of Theorems 6.1, 6.2, 6.4, and 6.5 is the criterion of a nonsingularity of the corresponding Hermitian matrix:

Theorem 6.6 *The right linearly independence of columns of $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{H}^{m \times n}$ or the left linearly independence of rows of $\mathbf{A}^*$ is the necessary and sufficient condition for $\det \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} \neq 0$.*

7 Properties of the double determinant of a quaternion square matrix

Suppose the matrix $\mathbf{E}_{ij} = (e_{pq})_{n \times n}$ such that $e_{pq} = \begin{cases} 1, & p = i, q = j, \\ 0, & p \neq i, q \neq j. \end{cases}$

Definition 7.1 *The matrix $\mathbf{P}_{ij}(b) := \mathbf{I} + b \cdot \mathbf{E}_{ij} \in \mathbf{M}(n, \mathbf{H})$ for $i \neq j$ is called an elementary unimodular matrix, where $\mathbf{I}$ is the identity matrix. Matrices $\mathbf{P}_{ij}(b)$ for $i \neq j$ and $\forall b \in \mathbf{H}$ generate the unimodular group $\mathbf{SL}(n, \mathbf{H})$, its elements is called the unimodular matrices.*

Theorem 7.1 *If $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{M}(n, \mathbf{H})$ is a Hermitian matrix and $\mathbf{P}_{ij}(b)$ is an elementary unimodular matrix, then $\det \mathbf{A} = \det (\mathbf{P}_{ij}(b) \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{P}_{ij}^*(b))$.*

Proof. First note that for $\forall \mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{M}(n, \mathbf{H})$ and a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A}$, the matrix $\mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U}$ is Hermitian as well. Really, $(\mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U})^* = \mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U}$. Multiplying a matrix $\mathbf{A}$ by $\mathbf{P}_{ij}(b)$ on the left adds the j th row left-multiplied by b to the i th row. Whereas multiplying a matrix $\mathbf{A}$ by $\mathbf{P}_{ij}^*(b)$ on the right adds the j th column right-multiplied by $\bar{b}$ to the j th column. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{P}_{ij}(b) \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{P}_{ij}^*(b) =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1i} + a_{1j}\bar{b} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{i1} + ba_{j1} & \dots & (ba_{jj} + a_{ij})\bar{b} + ba_{ji} + a_{ii} & \dots & a_{in} + ba_{jn} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{ni} + a_{nj}\bar{b} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then by Theorem 2.4 and 2.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{P}_{ij}(b) \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{P}_{ij}^*(b)) &= \text{cdet}_i(\mathbf{P}_{ij}(b) \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{P}_{ij}^*(b)) = \\ &= \text{cdet}_i \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1i} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{i1} + ba_{j1} & \dots & a_{ii} + ba_{ji} & \dots & a_{in} + ba_{jn} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{ni} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} + \\ &+ \text{cdet}_i \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1j}\bar{b} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{i1} + ba_{j1} & \dots & (ba_{jj} + a_{ij})\bar{b} & \dots & a_{in} + ba_{jn} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nj}\bar{b} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A} + \text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A}_{\cdot i} (b \cdot \mathbf{a}_j) + \text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A}_{\cdot i} (\mathbf{a}_j) \cdot \bar{b} + \\ &+ \text{cdet}_i \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1j} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ ba_{j1} & \dots & ba_{jj} & \dots & ba_{jn} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nj} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \bar{b}. \end{aligned}$$

The matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1j} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ ba_{j1} & \dots & ba_{jj} & \dots & ba_{jn} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nj} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = (\mathbf{A}_{\cdot i}(\mathbf{a}_j))_{\cdot i} (b\mathbf{a}_j)$ is obtained from

$\mathbf{A}$ by replacing its i th column with the j th column, and then by replacing the i th row of the obtained matrix with its j th row left-multiplied by b . The i th row of $(\mathbf{A}_{\cdot i}(\mathbf{a}_j))_{\cdot i} (b\mathbf{a}_j)$ is $b\mathbf{a}_j$, and its j th row is $\mathbf{a}_j$. Then by Theorem 4.6, we get $\text{cdet}_i(\mathbf{A}_{\cdot i}(\mathbf{a}_j))_{\cdot i} (b\mathbf{a}_j) = 0$. Furthermore by Theorem 4.2 we have $\text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A}_{\cdot i}(\mathbf{a}_j) = 0$, and by Theorem 4.6 we obtain $\text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A}_{\cdot i} (b \cdot \mathbf{a}_j) = 0$.

Finally, we have $\det(\mathbf{P}_{ij}(b) \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{P}_{ij}^*(b)) = \text{cdet}_i \mathbf{A} = \det \mathbf{A}$. ■

Theorem 7.2 *If $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{M}(n, \mathbf{H})$ is a Hermitian matrix and $\forall \mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{SL}(n, \mathbf{H})$, then*

$$\det \mathbf{A} = \det (\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{U}^*).$$

Proof. We claim that there exists $\{\mathbf{P}_1, \dots, \mathbf{P}_k\} \subset \mathbf{SL}(n, \mathbf{H})$ and $\exists k \in \mathbf{N}$ for $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{SL}(n, \mathbf{H})$ such that $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{P}_k \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{P}_1$. Then $\mathbf{U}^* = \mathbf{P}_1^* \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{P}_k^*$.

We prove the theorem by induction on k .

i) The case $k = 1$ has been proved Theorem 7.1.

ii) Suppose the theorem is valid for $k - 1$. That is $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{P}_{k-1} \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{P}_1$ and

$$\det \mathbf{A} = \det (\mathbf{P}_{k-1} \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{P}_1 \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{P}_1^* \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{P}_{k-1}^*).$$

Denote $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} := \mathbf{P}_{k-1} \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{P}_1 \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{P}_1^* \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{P}_{k-1}^*$. As shown in Theorem 7.1 a matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ is Hermitian.

iii) If now $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{P}_k \cdot \mathbf{P}_{k-1} \cdot \dots \cdot \mathbf{P}_1$, then

$$\det (\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{U}^*) = \det (\mathbf{P}_k \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \mathbf{P}_k^*) = \det \tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \det \mathbf{A}. \blacksquare$$

Theorem 7.3 *If $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{M}(n, \mathbf{H})$ is a Hermitian matrix, then $\exists \mathbf{U} \in \mathbf{SL}(n, \mathbf{H})$ and $\exists \mu_i \in \mathbf{R}$, ($\forall i = \overline{1, n}$), such that $\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{U}^* = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$, where $\text{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ is a diagonal matrix. Then $\det \mathbf{A} = \mu_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mu_n$.*

Proof. Consider the first column of a Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{M}(n, \mathbf{H})$. It is possible the following cases.

i) If $a_{11} \neq 0$, then $\mu_1 = a_{11} \in \mathbf{R}$. By sequentially left-multiplying the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ by elementary unimodular matrices $\mathbf{P}_{i1} \left(-\frac{a_{i1}}{\mu_1} \right)$, ($\forall i = \overline{2, n}$), we obtain zero for all entries of the first column save for diagonal. Since $-\frac{a_{i1}}{\mu_1} = -\frac{a_{1i}}{\mu_1}$, then $\mathbf{P}_{i1}^* \left(-\frac{a_{i1}}{\mu_1} \right) = \mathbf{P}_{1i} \left(-\frac{a_{1i}}{\mu_1} \right)$. By sequentially right-multiplying the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ by elementary unimodular matrices $\mathbf{P}_{i1}^* \left(-\frac{a_{i1}}{\mu_1} \right)$, we get zero for all entries of the first row save for diagonal. Due to Theorem 7.1 the obtained matrix is Hermitian as well.

ii) Suppose $a_{11} = 0$ and $\exists i \in I_n$ $a_{i1} \neq 0$. Having multiplied the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ by elementary unimodular matrices $\mathbf{P}_{1i}(a_{1i})$ on the left and by $\mathbf{P}_{i1}(a_{i1})$ on the right, we get the matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ with an entry $\tilde{a}_{11} = n(a_{i1})(2 + a_{ii}) \in \mathbf{R}$. Let now $\mu_1 = \tilde{a}_{11}$. Again by sequentially multiplying the matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ by $\mathbf{P}_{i1} \left(-\frac{\tilde{a}_{i1}}{\mu_1} \right)$

on the left and by $\mathbf{P}_{i1}^* \left(-\frac{\tilde{a}_{i1}}{\mu_1} \right)$, $(\forall i = \overline{2, n})$, on the right, we obtain the matrix with zero for all entries of the first row and column save for diagonal.

iii) If $\forall i \in I_n$ $a_{i1} = 0$, then put $\mu_1 = a_{11}$.

Having carried through the described procedure for all diagonal entries and entries of corresponding rows and columns by means of a finite number of multiplications the Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A}$ by elementary unimodular matrices $\mathbf{P}_k = \mathbf{P}_{ij}(b_k)$ on the left and by $\mathbf{P}_k^* = \mathbf{P}_{ji}(\overline{b_k})$ on the right, we obtain the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $\mu_i \in \mathbf{R}$ $(\forall i = \overline{1, n})$. Suppose $\mathbf{U} = \prod_k \mathbf{P}_k$, then by Theorem 7.2 we finally obtain

$$\det(\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{U}^*) = \det(\text{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)) = \mu_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \mu_n. \blacksquare$$

Theorem 7.4 *If $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$, then $\det \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^* = \det \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$. The matrices $\begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{A}^* & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{A}^* & -\mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}$ are Hermitian. It is easy to see that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{A}^* & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{A}^* & -\mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}$ can be represented as a product of n^2 elementary unimodular $2n \times 2n$ matrices, i.e. $\forall k = \overline{1, n^2} \exists i = \overline{1, n} \exists j = \overline{n+1, n^2} \exists \mathbf{P}_k = \mathbf{P}_{ij}^{(k)}(a_{ij})$: $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} = \prod_k \mathbf{P}_k$. Therefore, $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}(2n, \mathbf{H})$. In a similar manner, $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{A}^* & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}(2n, \mathbf{H})$. From this by Theorem 7.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^n \det \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^* &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^* & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \det \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{A}^* & -\mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{A}^* & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{A}^* & -\mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{A}^* & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} = \det \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{A}^* & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{A}^* & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^* & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^n \det \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A}. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Definition 7.2 For $\forall \mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$ the determinant of its corresponding Hermitian matrix is called its double determinant, i.e.

$$\text{ddet} \mathbf{A} := \det(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A}) = \det(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*).$$

Theorem 7.5 If $\forall \{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}\} \subset M(n, \mathbf{H})$, then $\text{ddet}(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}) = \text{ddet} \mathbf{A} \cdot \text{ddet} \mathbf{B}$.

Proof. Due to Theorem 7.3 for the Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A}$, there exists $\mathbf{U} \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbf{H})$ such that $\mathbf{U}^* \cdot \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{U} = (\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{U})^* \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{U} = \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, where $\alpha_i \in \mathbf{R}$. If $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{U} = (q_{ij})_{n \times n}$, then $\alpha_i = \sum_k \overline{q_{ki}} q_{ki} = \sum_k n(q_{ki}) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \ (\forall i = \overline{1, n})$, where $\mathbf{R}_+$ is the set of the nonnegative real numbers. Therefore for $\forall \alpha_i \in \mathbf{R}_+ \exists \sqrt{\alpha_i} \in \mathbf{R}_+ \ (\forall i = \overline{1, n})$. By virtue of $(\mathbf{U}^*)^{-1} = (\mathbf{U}^{-1})^*$ for Hermitian $(\mathbf{U}^{-1} \mathbf{B})^* (\mathbf{U}^{-1} \mathbf{B})$ there exist $\mathbf{W} \in \text{SL}(n, \mathbf{H})$ and $\beta_i \in \mathbf{R}_+ \ (\forall i = \overline{1, n})$ such that $\mathbf{W}^* (\mathbf{U}^{-1} \mathbf{B})^* (\mathbf{U}^{-1} \mathbf{B}) \mathbf{W} = \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$. Hence by Theorems 7.3 and 7.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ddet}(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}) &= \det(\mathbf{B}^* (\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{B}) = \det(\mathbf{B}^* (\mathbf{U}^*)^{-1} \mathbf{U}^* (\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}^{-1} \mathbf{B}) = \\ &= \det((\mathbf{U}^{-1} \mathbf{B})^* \text{diag}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \mathbf{U}^{-1} \mathbf{B}) = \\ &= \det((\text{diag}(\sqrt{\alpha_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\alpha_n}) \mathbf{U}^{-1} \mathbf{B})^* (\text{diag}(\sqrt{\alpha_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\alpha_n}) \mathbf{U}^{-1} \mathbf{B})) = \\ &= \det((\text{diag}(\sqrt{\alpha_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\alpha_n}) \mathbf{U}^{-1} \mathbf{B}) (\text{diag}(\sqrt{\alpha_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\alpha_n}) \mathbf{U}^{-1} \mathbf{B})^*) = \\ &= \det(\text{diag}(\sqrt{\alpha_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\alpha_n}) (\mathbf{U}^{-1} \mathbf{B}) (\mathbf{U}^{-1} \mathbf{B})^* \text{diag}(\sqrt{\alpha_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\alpha_n})) = \\ &= \det(\text{diag}(\sqrt{\alpha_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\alpha_n}) (\mathbf{W}^{-1})^* \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \mathbf{W}^{-1} \times \\ &\quad \times \text{diag}(\sqrt{\alpha_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\alpha_n})) = \det(((\mathbf{W}^{-1})^T)^* \text{diag}(\sqrt{\alpha_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\alpha_n}) \times \\ &\quad \times \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \cdot \text{diag}(\sqrt{\alpha_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\alpha_n}) (\mathbf{W}^{-1})^T) = \\ &= \det(\text{diag}(\sqrt{\alpha_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\alpha_n}) \cdot \text{diag}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \text{diag}(\sqrt{\alpha_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\alpha_n})) = \\ &= \alpha_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_n \cdot \beta_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \beta_n = \det \mathbf{A} \cdot \det \mathbf{B} = \det \mathbf{B} \cdot \det \mathbf{A}. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Remark 7.1 The proofs of Theorems 7.4 and 7.5 are similarly to the proofs in [3, p.533], and they differ by using different determinant functionals.

Remark 7.2 From Theorems 6.6 and 7.5 follows that for $\forall \mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$ $\text{ddet} \mathbf{A}$ satisfies Axioms 1, 2, 3. From [1, 4] we have

$$\text{ddet} \mathbf{A} = \text{Mdet}(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A}) = \text{Sdet} \mathbf{A} = \text{Ddet}^2 \mathbf{A},$$

where $\text{Sdet} \mathbf{A}$, $\text{Ddet} \mathbf{A}$ are accordingly the determinants of Study and of Diedonne.

8 Determinant representation of the quaternion inverse matrix

Definition 8.1 Suppose a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$ and $\text{ddet} \mathbf{A} = \text{c det}_j (\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A}) = \sum_i \mathbb{L}_{ij} \cdot a_{ij}, (\forall j = \overline{1, n})$, then $\mathbb{L}_{ij}$ is called the left double ij -th cofactor of $\mathbf{A}$.

Definition 8.2 Suppose a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$ and $\text{ddet} \mathbf{A} = \text{r det}_i (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*) = \sum_j a_{ij} \cdot \mathbb{R}_{ij}, (\forall i = \overline{1, n})$, then $\mathbb{R}_{ij}$ is called the right double ij th cofactor of $\mathbf{A}$.

Theorem 8.1 The necessary and sufficient condition of invertibility of $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$ is $\text{ddet} \mathbf{A} \neq 0$. Then $\exists \mathbf{A}^{-1} = (L\mathbf{A})^{-1} = (R\mathbf{A})^{-1}$, where

$$(L\mathbf{A})^{-1} = (\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^* = \frac{1}{\text{ddet} \mathbf{A}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{L}_{11} & \mathbb{L}_{21} & \dots & \mathbb{L}_{n1} \\ \mathbb{L}_{12} & \mathbb{L}_{22} & \dots & \mathbb{L}_{n2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \mathbb{L}_{1n} & \mathbb{L}_{2n} & \dots & \mathbb{L}_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \quad (13)$$

$$(R\mathbf{A})^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^* (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\text{ddet} \mathbf{A}^*} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{R}_{11} & \mathbb{R}_{21} & \dots & \mathbb{R}_{n1} \\ \mathbb{R}_{12} & \mathbb{R}_{22} & \dots & \mathbb{R}_{n2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \mathbb{R}_{1n} & \mathbb{R}_{2n} & \dots & \mathbb{R}_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \quad (14)$$

and $\mathbb{L}_{ij} = \text{c det}_j (\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})_{.j} (\mathbf{a}_{.i}^*)$, $\mathbb{R}_{ij} = \text{r det}_i (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*)_{i.} (\mathbf{a}_{j.}^*)$, $(\forall i, j = \overline{1, n})$.

Proof. (Necessity). Suppose there exists the inverse matrix $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ of $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$. By virtue of $\text{rank } \mathbf{A} \geq \text{rank}(\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{A}) = \text{rank } \mathbf{I} = n$, then $\text{rank } \mathbf{A} = n$. Thus the columns of $\mathbf{A}$ are right linearly independent. By Theorem 6.6, this implies $\text{det } \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} = \text{ddet} \mathbf{A} \neq 0$.

(Sufficiency) Since $\text{ddet} \mathbf{A} = \text{det } \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} \neq 0$, by Theorem 5.1 there exists the inverse $(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})^{-1}$ of the Hermitian matrix $\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A}$. Multiplying it on the right by $\mathbf{A}^*$ obtains the left inverse $(L\mathbf{A})^{-1} = (\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^*$. By representing $(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})^{-1} = (\frac{L_{ij}}{\text{ddet} \mathbf{A}})_{n \times n}$ as the left inverse matrix, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (L\mathbf{A})^{-1} &= (L(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A}))^{-1} \mathbf{A}^* = \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{ddet} \mathbf{A}} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_k L_{k1} a_{k1}^* & \sum_k L_{k1} a_{k2}^* & \dots & \sum_k L_{k1} a_{kn}^* \\ \sum_k L_{k2} a_{k1}^* & \sum_k L_{k2} a_{k2}^* & \dots & \sum_k L_{k2} a_{kn}^* \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \sum_k L_{kn} a_{k1}^* & \sum_k L_{kn} a_{k2}^* & \dots & \sum_k L_{kn} a_{kn}^* \end{pmatrix} = \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\text{ddet} \mathbf{A}} \begin{pmatrix} \text{cdet}_1(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})_{.1}(\mathbf{a}_{.1}^*) & \text{cdet}_1(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})_{.1}(\mathbf{a}_{.2}^*) & \dots & \text{cdet}_1(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})_{.1}(\mathbf{a}_{.n}^*) \\ \text{cdet}_2(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})_{.2}(\mathbf{a}_{.1}^*) & \text{cdet}_2(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})_{.2}(\mathbf{a}_{.2}^*) & \dots & \text{cdet}_2(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})_{.2}(\mathbf{a}_{.n}^*) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \text{cdet}_n(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})_{.n}(\mathbf{a}_{.1}^*) & \text{cdet}_n(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})_{.n}(\mathbf{a}_{.2}^*) & \dots & \text{cdet}_n(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})_{.n}(\mathbf{a}_{.n}^*) \end{pmatrix}$$

By virtue of $\text{ddet} \mathbf{A} = \det(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A}) = \text{cdet}_j(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A}) = \sum_i \text{cdet}_j(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})_{.j}(\mathbf{a}_{.i}^*) \cdot a_{ij} = \sum_i \mathbb{L}_{ij} \cdot a_{ij}$, ($\forall j = \overline{1, n}$), we obtain (13).

Now prove the formula (14). By Theorem 5.1 there exists an inverse matrix $(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*)^{-1} = (\frac{R_{ij}}{\text{ddet} \mathbf{A}})_{n \times n}$. By having left-multiplied it by $\mathbf{A}^*$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} (R \mathbf{A})^{-1} &= \mathbf{A}^* (R(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A}))^{-1} = \\ &= \frac{1}{d \det \mathbf{A}} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_k a_{1k}^* R_{1k} & \sum_k a_{1k}^* R_{2k} & \dots & \sum_k a_{1k}^* R_{nk} \\ \sum_k a_{2k}^* R_{1k} & \sum_k a_{2k}^* R_{2k} & \dots & \sum_k a_{2k}^* R_{nk} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \sum_k a_{nk}^* R_{1k} & \sum_k a_{nk}^* R_{2k} & \dots & \sum_k a_{nk}^* R_{nk} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{ddet} \mathbf{A}^*} \begin{pmatrix} \text{rdet}_1(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*)_{1.}(\mathbf{a}_{1.}^*) & \text{rdet}_2(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*)_{2.}(\mathbf{a}_{1.}^*) & \dots & \text{rdet}_n(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*)_{n.}(\mathbf{a}_{1.}^*) \\ \text{rdet}_1(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*)_{1.}(\mathbf{a}_{2.}^*) & \text{rdet}_2(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*)_{2.}(\mathbf{a}_{2.}^*) & \dots & \text{rdet}_n(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*)_{n.}(\mathbf{a}_{2.}^*) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \text{rdet}_1(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*)_{1.}(\mathbf{a}_{n.}^*) & \text{rdet}_2(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*)_{2.}(\mathbf{a}_{n.}^*) & \dots & \text{rdet}_n(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*)_{n.}(\mathbf{a}_{n.}^*) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of $\text{ddet} \mathbf{A} = \text{rdet}_i(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*) = \sum_j a_{ij} \cdot \text{rdet}_i(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*)_{i.}(\mathbf{a}_{j.}^*) = \sum_j a_{ij} \cdot \mathbb{R}_{ij}$, ($\forall i = \overline{1, n}$), the formula (14) is valid. The equality $(L \mathbf{A})^{-1} = (R \mathbf{A})^{-1}$ is immediately from the well-known fact that if there exists an inverse matrix over an arbitrary skew field, then it is unique. ■

Remark 8.1 In Theorem 8.1 on the assumption that $\text{ddet} \mathbf{A} \neq 0$, the inverse matrix $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ of $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{M}(n, \mathbf{H})$ is represented by some "double" analogue of the classical adjoint matrix. If we denote this analogue by $\text{Adj}[[\mathbf{A}]]$, then the following formula is valid over $\mathbf{H}$:

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \frac{\text{Adj}[[\mathbf{A}]]}{\text{ddet} \mathbf{A}}.$$

9 Cramer rule for quaternionic systems of linear equations

Theorem 9.1 *Let*

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} \quad (15)$$

be a right system of linear equations with a matrix of coefficients $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$, a column of constants $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T \in \mathbf{H}^{n \times 1}$, and a column of unknowns $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$. If $\text{ddet} \mathbf{A} \neq 0$, then the solution to the linear system (15) is given by components

$$x_j = \frac{\text{cdet}_j(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A}) \cdot_j (\mathbf{f})}{\text{ddet} \mathbf{A}}, \quad (\forall j = \overline{1, n}), \quad (16)$$

where $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{A}^ \mathbf{y}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 8.1 $\mathbf{A}$ is invertibility. So there exists the unique inverse matrix $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$. From this the existence and uniqueness of solutions of (15) follows immediately. Consider $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$ as the left inverse $(L\mathbf{A})^{-1} = (\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^*$. Then we get $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^* \cdot \mathbf{y}$. Denote $\mathbf{f} := \mathbf{A}^* \cdot \mathbf{y}$. Here $\mathbf{f} = (f_1 \ f_2 \ \dots \ f_n)^T$ is the n -dimension column vector over $\mathbf{H}$. By considering $(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})^{-1}$ as the left inverse, the solution of (15) is represented by components

$$x_j = (\text{ddet} \mathbf{A})^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n L_{ij} \cdot f_i, \quad (\forall j = \overline{1, n}),$$

where L_{ij} is the left ij -th cofactor of the Hermitian matrix $(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A})$. From here we obtain (16). ■

Theorem 9.2 *Let*

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{y} \quad (17)$$

be a left system of linear equations with a matrix of coefficients $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$, a row of constants $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbf{H}^{1 \times n}$, and a row of unknowns $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. If $\text{ddet} \mathbf{A} \neq 0$, then the solution to the linear system (17) is given by components

$$x_i = \frac{\text{rdet}_i(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*) \cdot_i (\mathbf{z})}{\text{ddet} \mathbf{A}}, \quad (\forall i = \overline{1, n}) \quad (18)$$

where $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{y} \mathbf{A}^$.*

Proof. The proof of this theorem is analogous to that of Theorem 9.1.

Remark 9.1 *The formulas (16) and (18) are the obvious and natural generalizations of Cramer rule for quaternionic systems of linear equations. The best similarity to Cramer rule can be received by Theorem 5.1 in the following specific cases.*

Theorem 9.3 *If the matrix of coefficients $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$ in the right system of linear equations over $\mathbf{H}$ (15) is Hermitian, then the unique solution vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ of the system is given by*

$$x_j = \frac{\text{cdet}_j \mathbf{A}_{.j}(\mathbf{y})}{\det \mathbf{A}} \quad \forall j = \overline{1, n}.$$

Theorem 9.4 *If the matrix of coefficients $\mathbf{A} \in M(n, \mathbf{H})$ in the left system of linear equations over $\mathbf{H}$ (17) is Hermitian, then the unique solution vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is given by*

$$x_i = \frac{\text{rdet}_i \mathbf{A}_{i.}(\mathbf{y})}{\det \mathbf{A}} \quad \forall i = \overline{1, n}.$$

References

- [1] H.Aslaksen, *Quaternionic determinants*, Math. Intelligencer, **18**(3): 57–65 (1996)
- [2] L.Chen, *Definition of determinant and Cramer solutions over quaternion field*, Acta Math. Sinica (N.S.), **7**: 171–180 (1991).
- [3] L.Chen, *Inverse matrix and properties of double determinant over quaternion field*, Sci. China, Ser. A **34**: 528–540 (1991).
- [4] N.Cohen, S. De Leo, *The quaternionic determinant*, The Electronic Journal Linear Algebra, **7**: 100–111 (2000).
- [5] F. J.Dyson, *Quaternion determinants*, Helvetica Phys. Acta, **45**: 289–302 (1972).
- [6] I. Gelfand and V. Retakh, *A Determinants of matrices over noncommutative rings*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **25**, no. 2: 13–35 (1991).

- [7] I. Gelfand and V. Retakh, *A Theory of Noncommutative Determinants and Characteristic Functions of Graphs*, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **26**, no. 4: 1-20 (1992).
- [8] I. I. Kirchej, *Fractional-rational regularization of a system of linear equations over the skew-field of quaternions*. (Ukrainian, English) *J. Math. Sci., New York* 90, no.5: 2398-2403 (1998); translation from *Mat. Metody Fiz.-Mekh. Polya* **39**, no.2: 89-95 (1996).
- [9] I.I.Kyrchei, *Classical adjoint for Hermitian matrix over quasi-field*, *Mathematical Methods and Physicomechanical Fields*, **44**, no.3: 33–49 (2001) (in Ukrainian).
- [10] I.I.Kyrchei, *Analogue of adjoint matrix over skew field with involution*. *Mathematical Methods and Physicomechanical Fields*, – **46**, no.4: 81-91 (2003) (in Ukrainian).
- [11] I.I.Kyrchei, *Cramer rule over quaternion division algebra*, *Bulletin of the University of Kiev. Series: Physics and Mathematics*, no. **1**: 28-34 (2006) (in Ukrainian).